



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_42198
Title of the Manuscript:	Gas Chromatographic FID Evaluation of roots of Manniophytum fulfum
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Include authority for plant names Include recent literature in introduction and discussion Required more proper discussion with current results Provide recent reference for photochemical extraction technique Why you have not taken purified compounds for comparative study What are new reports when compare with earlier study	We agree with the reviewer's comment and have updated our report accordingly.
Minor REVISION comments	On which basis you selected this study Did you checked for toxicity Check for spelling mistakes	In our local environment, the root of this plant is used among the herbalists in the management of some metabolic diseases without knowing the chemical compositions, possible toxicity and mechanism of its action. This formed the basis for the study. The toxicity study was done by Agbaire et al., 2013 and has been updated accordingly.
Optional/General comments	Scientific names should be italicize Check the references order and follow the journal format	Scientific names have been italicized. Thanks a lot.