



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_41592
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative quality analysis of cucumber grown under polyhouse and in open condition
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Poor English. Language of the study must be improved. 2. Authors should give some examples of previous research articles in introduction section. 3. The purpose of the study was not placed in the introduction section (usually it is added to the end of intro) 4. In which country the study was conducted? 5. Material and method needs to be improved. 6. Authors must provide some detailed info about how they measured the parameters (method) 7. There is no discussion part in the manuscript. The results of the study must be discussed with previous studies. 8. The number of the references used in the study is not enough. More references related to the subject must be included. 9. Presentation of references in the list is not consistent. Authors must rearrange the references by following the journals reference format and instructions.	The writing has been improved than before . Other research paper related to the topic has been reviewed. Introduction part of the paper has been checked and the objectives of the research trial has been made more clear Name of the country written. Materials and methods has been improved and new other relevant corrections and information has been added. Details of the laboratory analysis has been added. Result and discussion part of the paper has been revised and new reference are also given to support the experimental findings of the research trial. Number of new references has been added. The journal reference format while writing the reference has been followed.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		