



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_41592
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative quality analysis of cucumber grown under polyhouse and in open condition
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1- Give the name of the country in 'Material and methods' 2- Line 77: Write 2015 3- Line 78: Check and correct sentence 'Six F1hybrids Collected from the market.' 4- Tell why the spacing were different in the two conditions of planting 5- Line 125-126: Check and correct mistake 6- Discussion of result results is poor 7- Do not introduce parts of discussion into conclusion.	1. Name of the country has been given in material and method. 2. 2015 written 3. Details of six F1hybrids has been given 4. Reason has been explained. 5. Mistake has been corrected. 6. More reference has been added and discussion has been modified. 7. Conclusion has been modified.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Check and introduce references of the last two years	1. Possible references have been added.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Data on soils composition could have increased accuracy of the results.	1. Soil composition data has been given