



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_41592
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative quality analysis of cucumber grown under polyhouse and in open condition
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	-Line 19-20-21: High ascorbic.... this sentence is not understood. it must be checked in English. -Line 33: "A highly demanding salad vegetable used for various preparations." This sentence must be checked in terms of English. -Line 45: "Apart from" Is this conjunction true? -Line 57-58: In which country did the research conduct? -Line75: "20115" it is false. 2015? -Line 78-79: "Six F₁ hybrids <i>i.e.</i> Don, Malini, Sedona, NS 404, Alisha and Noori, collected from market. " This sentence must be checked in terms of English. And which market? Seed company? -Line 130: Results and Discussion section is insufficient. Many article should be added to Results and Discussion. For example, for Moisture percent, for Total soluble solid (TSS), for Ascorbic acid, for Reducing sugar, for Total sugar, for Superoxide dismutase -There are many articles around world about quality parameters for cucumber. Author or Authors should make use of these articles - Someone whose native language is English should check the article.	The indicated line has been corrected. The comment has been corrected. Grammatical mistake observed in the line is corrected. Name of the country, state and district is mentioned Typing mistake is corrected. The name of the seed company and the characteristics of the sixF 1 hybrid grown are presented in the tabular form. A number of references justifying or supporting the results and discussion are added. The author has referred many of the scientific journals and article during the compulsory corrections. The writing style has been reviewed and \grammatical mistakes has been corrected
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	it can be accepted after revision	