



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_41584
Title of the Manuscript:	PROLONGED EFFECT OF NIGER DELTA HONEY ON BLOOD GLUCOSE AND HAEMATOLOGICAL PARAMETERS IN ALLOXAN INDUCED DIABETIC RATS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. When the other researchers found no significant glucose level reduction when using honey, need to explain what caused the difference here, is it a different honey type, or study design? Since two different outcomes are observed, need to compare the source if honey used here. 2. If we administer Honey along glibenclamide, it is causing synergistic effect, possible reason for the synergistic effect has to be explained. If other than Glibenclamide will be used with honey, how is going to be the synergistic effect.	1. I have added a note (highlighted) to what caused the difference. 2. I have also added a note (highlighted) to explain the possible synergistic effect of honey on glibenclamide treatment of diabetes.
Minor REVISION comments	1. Since using honey during diabetic condition is very critical, recommend authors to provide the some additional study plan before we conclude the honey impact on diabetes.	1. Additional study plan added (as highlighted)
Optional/General comments	1. Animal ethical committee approval should be submitted to the editors, its been observed in my review, without even the approval some authors claimed that it was approved.	1. Attached a copy of the ethical approval.