



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_40506
Title of the Manuscript:	The response of Antioxidant enzymes and Photosynthesis dynamics of Sunflower Exposed to Aniline Wastewater
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	I think that the experiments and the results presented are sufficient for their publication in this journal after minor revision Line 51: Which method was used for seed soaking? Line 54: What was the Hoagland medium content? It should be added reference. Line 57: How did you apply aniline? It should be explained. Line 142: This reference could be in discussion	The seeds were soaked in distilled water. Hoagland medium should be Hoagland's nutrient solution. Reference has been added. The aniline dissolved in half strength Hoagland's nutrient solution as simulated wastewater. The reference has been moved to discussion part.
Optional/General comments		