



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_40500
Title of the Manuscript:	Molecular basis of pathogenesis of Diabetes Mellatus type 2- a new perspective.
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	All REF cited by Authors are old. The manuscript is badly organized and no uniforme style writing.	The article is reorganised/ re written in certain areas. The issues touched in the article in the introduction part and discussion like loss of girst phase insulin, pulsatile nature of insulin secretion metabolic and oxidative stress , to name a few , have long been discussed in the literature and naturally the the references seem old. The Randle cycle (1963) has evoked discussion upto the turn of the century save for a couple of articles in recent past. Since the article is a modification of an old concept- which still holds its sway, the related references are naturally old. The purpose of the article is not to fathom into the depths of literature but to present a new hypothesis. This is a opinion article, not a review article. Almost all the references are now accepted facts and facts need no reference , save for the formality.However recent references are also included wherever suitable. It is requested that attention may be focussed on the hypothesis proposed by the author which would be helpful. The reviewer is appreciated as to the concern for improving the article. It is requested to facilitate the publication of the article. Regards Sir..