



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_40032
Title of the Manuscript:	PSP toxins in Moroccan shellfish by mouse bioassay, high-performance liquid chromatography and Receptor Binding Assay (2004-2016)
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	• The text needs strong editorial improving. • language should be also check. • The introduction is very scanty. Add some information about the toxins, for example a few sentences about their activity and chemical structure. • Please add information, how the samples for HPLC were performed (solvent used for extraction, speed of centrifugation etc. Add information about the condition of HPLC procedure; type of used column, temperature of separation, flow rate, concentration and composition of mobile phase. • Check the unit (they are written in not proper way for example without symbol of multification). • Description of Fig. 3. What exactly means "evolution" in this description "Evolution of Alexandrium spp in waters and PSP toxins in mussels from Dar sfint..." • line 149-150 it is unclear. • The fig. 7. is very unreadable. First is the size of letters, the second is the differences in the used units, so if for MBA and HPLC the unit is the same, the curves show that with MBA is more sensitive whereas in conclusion you write that HPLC has higher sensitivity..	The text has been improved and additional information was provided to the introduction Structure of STX added (fig 1) and The introduction has been improved the material and methods section has been improved and other information about HPLC has been added units were verified according to models of journal articles evolution → variation Figure impoved All corrections in the text
Minor REVISION comments	• You can be find more comments in pdf file.	All corrections in the text
Optional/General comments		Thank you For your comments and comments