



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_39569
Title of the Manuscript:	Ameliorative properties of the chloroform stem bark extract of Abrus precatorius against gentamicin-induced renal damage in rats
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)				Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Page number	Line number from top of paragraph	corrections		
1	9,11,14,15,16	Five(5), ssix(6), three(3)	Is it necessary ?	It has been rewritten in words only.
	Abstract	Exceeding 300 words, not structured..	Headings..(objective, materials methods, results, discussion, conclusion) absent	Headings have been added
	Key words	Not in alphabetical order	Not mentioned term nephroprotective	Keywords have been re-arranged alphabetically and Nephroprotective has been added.
	abstract	Blood parameters	Details not mentioned	A reviewer asked that haematology be removed
	abstract	Serum parameters	not mentionedabout urea level	Urea has been added
2	Read lines 41, 44,45,46,51	Check	Sentence construction	The sentences have been reconstructed
3	65	Wistar rats weighing 100 and 170g	Weighing between ?	This has been corrected
	69-71	check	Sentence construction	Corrected
3	87	No details about blood parameters.. reference		Heamatology has been removed
5	148,155	check	Sentence construction	Corrected

6	179	The result also showed significant depletions of both enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidant	Not showing depletion of non enzymatic MDA level, its level is increasing in toxicant group Please check	MDA as a marker of oxidative stress (lipid peroxidation) was increased. But both enzymatic antioxidants (SOD, GST, GPx) and non enzymatic antioxidants (GSH, Protein thiols, non protein thiols) were depleted
7	192, 193	A=control, B=Gentamicin 100mg/kg, C= 100mg/kg chloroform extract, D= 200 mg/kg chloroform extract, E=200 mg/kg chloroform post treatment	Not clearly mentioned about drug mentioned in group c d and e (no details about administration of gentamicin)	Administration of gentamicin has been added
13	299,300	“	“	This has been corrected
13	Table 2	Gpx value in group b 11.7±2.0 ^b	11.7±2.0 ^b it should come as 11.7±2.0 ^a	This has been corrected
14	306,307	GSH, Total thiol, and Non protein thiol	Not mentioned In kidney homogenate	This has been corrected
14	310-311	How group F,G,H	not mentioned in table	Administration of gentamicin has been added
14	313-314	A=control, B=Gentamicin 100mg/kg, C= 100mg/kg chloroform extract, D= 200 mg/kg chloroform extract, E=200	Not clearly mentioned about drug mentioned in group c d and e (no details about administration of gentamicin)	



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

		mg/kg chloroform post treatment			Administration of gentamicin has been added
20,	404, 405	A=control,	Not clearly		
21	412,413	B=Gentamicin	mentioned about		
22	420-421	100mg/kg, C= 100mg/kg chloroform extract, D= 200 mg/kg chloroform extract, E=200 mg/kg chloroform post treatment	drug mentioned in group c d and e (no details about administration of gentamicin)		
22	423	check			This has been corrected
22	429-431	Here specifying blood parameters	But not mentioning above		This has been corrected
24	476,477---480,	Same meaning	Can Avoid line 480		This has been corrected
25	498-499	In that study, GM-	Which study ?		The References have been formatted according to 'Guide to Authors"
25-30	REFERENCES	Not uniform	So many mistakes		