



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_39418
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the Analgesic Activity of the Aqueous and Hydroethanolic Extract from Crinum Scillifolium Bulbs (Amaryllidaceae)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper is hypothesis driven and the methods used were appropriate. However the paper is full of omission and grammatically errors. Need thorough revision of the grammar. The abstract did not state the animal species used. In the methods section it is not clear how the animals were housed considering that both sexes were used in the tests.	All corrections have been taken into account. Female Swiss albino mice were used. I corrected the grammar. Please, if there are any errors please indicate me the lines.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		