



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_39263
Title of the Manuscript:	GENE EXPRESSIONS AND POLYMORPHISMS OF NOVEL BIOMARKERS IN DIABETIC NEPHROPATHY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract is poorly written: introduction should be background and it is not link to the topic. There is no need to mention the place of sample collection (this should be under (2) Materials and Method in the full text). Method used in the study is not stated. Please rewrite result part of abstract and exclude discussion. Conclusion is not clear Keywords: should be diabetic nephropathy instead of nephropathy Introduction does not make any meaning: It should be constructive (Please get related publications for help). Diabetes and Diabetic Nephropathy (DN) are not properly written/ explained (poor scientific expressions and grammatical errors). Poor referencing. Material and Methods are not stratified and poorly written: Sample collection? What are the inclusion and exclusion criteria? Did you get ethical approval and consent from patients (It is not written in the manuscript)? Which method did you use for DNA extraction? What method did you use for sequencing? What statistical analysis did you use for your biochemical parameters? Write methods used under each subheading and explain how they were used in your study. If equipment are used; mention them and specifications (manufacturers), use references where necessary. Result and Discussion: All figures should have clear captions below them. Use either SD or SEM for biochemical parameters (preferably SD), present your quantitative results in mean±SD. Please check related published articles to make your tables more suitable. Discussion is poorly written; relationship between genes (IL6, IL10, CD36) and DN is not clearly explained. Conclusion: Please rewrite conclusion, it is not articulate. References: It should be references not bibliography; check and correct all reference according to journal format especially reference No. 5, 6, 9, 12,14, 15, 16, 17 and 18. Ethical issue: Ethical approval should be provided or prove of it.	Corrected in manuscript. Biochemical parameters performed from fluoride plasma, glycosylated hemoglobin and gene analysis was performed from whole blood ETA sample. Commercial available Quigen kit was used for DNA extraction. Data analyzed by Descriptive statistical methods. All results modified as mean ± SD Conclusion modified References include book references, research articles and guidelines published by health agencies. All references as per the APA citations style. Study protocol is approved by Institutional Ethics Committee.
Minor REVISION comments	The title of manuscript can be modified to Association of IL-6, IL-10, and CD-36 Gene Polymorphisms and Expressions with Diabetic Nephropathy	
Optional/General comments	The study is good but poorly written. It should be accepted after all corrections are made.	