



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_39028
Title of the Manuscript:	Molecular mechanism for metformin – A New Hypothesis
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript needs to be rewritten –language editing, avoiding the use & and other short notations. The abbreviations used must be listed and expansion shown. The manuscript needs to be sharp, focussed and state what is the new hypothesis of metformin action. Diagrams could be used to illustrate the pleiotropic effects and metformin intervention,	IThe author thanks the reviewer for his valuable comments. Language is edited, Abbreviations are expanded, usage of & is avoided. The new hypothesis is sharpened and a conclusion part is added. The intension of article is to focus on anti hyperglycaemic action of metformin in DM 2 and hence other actions are out of context. Effort is made to give in nutshell of what is known to provide a backdrop and to-serve as contrast what is proposed in the new hypothesis. Hence the article is designed as such.kindly permit the same.Thanks and regards..
Minor REVISION comments	Needs to be rewritten and shortened	
Optional/General comments		