



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_38656
Title of the Manuscript:	Generation and Analysis of CO ₂ and CH ₄ Content of Biogas Generated from Pig Manure, Fruit and Vegetable Wastes
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	My comments are as follows: - It's strange to mention references in an abstract - Where are they the keywords? - Very short Introduction and poorly written - Add a title to the table in “Data Collection and Analysis” section - Idem for the other figures and tables - The results are not presented in appropriate way. - This Manuscript (MS) have not a discussion section, - Please check the manuscript carefully for the correction of punctuation, some unclear sentences. - The conclusion section is not supported by the findings and references. - Cited material needs to be engaged with in a critical way it cannot simply be copied, and it must be referenced. This MS contains incited materials - A very short list of references - Several references are missing in the references list: Weiland 2010, Heaven et al., 2011, Zamalloa et al., 2011	Thank you. All the comments are taken note of and the corrections done are highlighted
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		