



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJBCRR_38498 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Cardioprotective effect of enalapril on isoproterenol-induced myocardial infarction in rats |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | In line 101-102, the animals were sacrificed by cervical dislocation? Why? Did you aware about the animals' guideline in regards to sacrifice? What does it say? | Cervical dislocation is allowed in a study of this nature because it is a terminal study. Before institutional approval, this was indicated in the proposal and the approval of the study is a pointer to the fact that this method is in order. |
| Minor REVISION comments | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In line 99-100, "Normal saline was used as a vehicle" What did you mean? 2. In line 629-630, remove the statement that starts with, 'Other studies have...' 3. In line 434, what does superscript 11 indicates? 4. It is better if your figure 1 to 12 can be presented in table. | The statement on normal saline has been corrected or removed. It is an error. The sentence in lines 629-630 has been deleted as suggested. All other corrections in this section have been effected. I prefer to leave figures 1-12 as they are now hence they have not been changed to tables. |
| Optional/General comments | The work is very interesting and worth-sharing with the scientific community provided it could be appropriately revised. Thanks | Thanks for your favourable comments. |