



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_37712
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF NEEM (Azadirachta indica) LEAF AQUEOUS EXTRACTS ON HAEMATOLOGICAL PARAMETERS OF COCKERELS EXPERIMENTALLY INFECTED WITH INFECTIOUS BURSAL DISEASE VIRUS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments Line 9, and 94-96	Cockerels recruited at day 480 from a hatchery is inconsistent. Perhaps a serious error in the experiment design or a typographic error.	Thank you for the observations but it has corrected
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Line 40	Avoid using references that are more than 10 years old. Go through the entire paper and get quote more recent works.	Noted and some newest references has been added
<u>Optional/General</u> comments Statistical analysis	Consider to use a multivariate analysis approach for analysis, since study design has many factors. A regression analysis should have been a more robust method than ANOVA. Line 7, change the recent work to read the study. Someone will be reading your work five years down the line, and it will not be recent work.	It has been changed