Proximate and Anti-nutrient compositions of cocoyam (*Colocasia esculenta*): the effect of
 cooking and dietary palm oil treatments.

#### 4 ABSTRACT

The influence of cooking and dietary palm oil on the nutrient and anti-nutrient contents of cocoyam 5 was investigated. Cocoyam is ranked third in importance, after has been found to be nutritionally 6 superior to cassava and yam due to its easily digestible starch and high protein, vitamins and mineral 7 8 contents. There are heath concerns over the anti-nutritional contents of cocoyam which could lead to several ailments. The addition of palm oil, which contains healthy components, and cooking known to 9 also reduce and inactivate some of these antinutrients would be carried out in this study. Four portions 10 of healthy cocoyam were given different treatments and labeled A, B, C and D for uncooked raw 11 12 (control 1), cooked without dietary palm oil (control 2), cooked with little dietary palm oil at ratio 1:5, cooked with much dietary palm oil at ratio 1:10 respectively. These portions were mashed for nutrient 13 and anti-nutrient content analysis. The moisture contents of portion B was the highest (80.48%) 14 compared to moisture content values recorded for other cocoyam portions. The ash content of the C 15 portion was the highest (3.38%) followed by cocoyam portion D. Raw cocoyam had the highest 16 carbohydrate (86.58%) and protein content (8.61%) while portion D had highest protein content 17 amongst boiled cocovam portions. The highest caloric value was recorded for the cocovam portion D 18 (444.75%). The raw portion had higher content values for all the anti-nutrients. Values recorded for 19 20 anti-nutrient contents of the other cooked and cooked with oil additions cocoyam (B, C and D) portions were significantly reduced. 21

- 22 Key words: Nutritional contents, proximate analysis, Anti-nutritional contents, cocoyam, dietary palm
- 23 oil

#### 24 INTRODUCTION

Most plants used as foods commonly synthesize a range of secondary metabolites, as part of their 25 protection against attack by herbivorous, insects and pathogens or as means to survive in adverse 26 growing conditions, termed anti-nutrients [1] which exerts effect contrary to optimum nutrition when 27 consumed [2]. Cocoyam is one of the most widespread of the root and tuber crops cultivated almost 28 everywhere throughout the tropics and in over 65 countries of the world [3]. Although they are ranked 29 third in importance, after cassava and yam in many West and Central Africa countries according to 30 Adisa and Okunede [4], cocoyams have been found to be nutritionally superior in the possession of 31 higher protein, mineral and vitamin contents as well as easily digestible starch [5]. 32

According to Giacometti and Leon [6] cocoyam plants are food crops of the tropical rain forest which 33 in their natural habitat grow under the forest canopy, but when cultivated, they are usually sown with 34 full exposure to sunlight. Cocoyam belong to either the genus Colocasia or Xanthosoma and are 35 generally comprised of a large spherical corm (swollen underground storage stem), from which a few 36 large leaves emerge [7]. About 30-40 species of cocoyam have been identified but only 5-6 species 37 produce edible parts [8]. The corms and cormels of cocovam, the major economic parts, are noted to 38 be high with nutrients (15 to 39% carbohydrates, 2 to 3% protein and 70 to 77% water), the young 39 40 leaves contain 2% protein and are also rich in vitamin C, thiamine, riboflavin, niacin, calcium, phosphorus and iron [9]. It has a nutritional value comparable to potato but easier to digest [10]. The 41

- 42 presence of anti-nutrients in edible foods such as cocoyam, has become a major concern for human
- 43 health [11]. Although most anti-nutritional factors are removed or partially inactivated by heat or
- 44 cooking, their residual and bioaccumulated content have been reported to being the cause of many ill-
- 45 health conditions [12; 13; 14]. This study evaluated the effect of cooking and palm oil treatments to
- 46 the nutritional and anti-nutritional contents of cocoyam. Crude palm oil (CPO, known also as red palm
- oil, RPO) is known to contain both healthy beneficial compounds, such as triacylglycerol (TAGs),
   vitamin E, carotenoids, phytosterols, as well as impurities, such as phospholipids, free fatty acids
- 49 (FFAs) gums and lipid oxidation products; the latter can be removed by means of refining processes
- 50 according to Sambanthamurthi, Sundram and Tan [15].

# 51 MATERIALS AND METHODS

# 52 Sample Collection

- Healthy cocoyam cormels (*Colocasia esculenta*) were bought from a local market in Akwa-IbomState, Nigeria.
- State, Migena.

# 55 Sample Preparation

- 56 Cocoyam tubers (2kg) were washed thoroughly, to remove soil particles and other debris, then hand-
- 57 peeled. The peeled tubers were washed again with clean water, sliced into smaller pieces of 2.0 mm
- thickness using sterile kitchen knife and divided into four portions. Three portions (B, C and D), were
- 59 boiled at 80°C for 15mins while a portion (A) was blended and left raw. The boiled portion B were
- 60 mashed and left without dietary palm oil addition. These two portions served as control. One cooked
- 61 cocoyam portion (C) was mixed with little palm oil (1:5) while another cooked portion (D) was
- mashed and mixed with a larger quantity of dietary palm oil (1:10). Each portion was arranged randomly on drying tray in single layers and left to dry at  $65^{\circ}$ C in an air draught oven (Gallenkamp,
- randomly on drying tray in single layers and left to dry at 65°C in an air draught oven (Gallenkamp
  BS Model 250 size 2 UK), until they were dried enough to be broken sharply between the hands [16].
- BS Model 250 size 2 UK), until they were dried enough to be broken sharply between the hands [16].

# 65 **Proximate Composition Analysis**

- 66 The proximate composition (nutrient and anti-nutrient) of the cocoyam portions (A, B, C and D) was
- 67 determined using a Micro-Kjedhal method [16] which involves wet digestion, distillation, and
- 68 titration, while the anti-nutritional content was determined using the methods of AOAC[16] Ukpabi *et*
- 69 *al.*[17] and Nkama and Gbenyi [18].
- 70 Statistical analysis of the average results was carried out to evaluate the significance or not of the
- 71 treatments to the nutrient and anti-nutrient values of the cocoyam portions.

# 72 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

- Table 1 and 2 show results for the Proximate/Nutritional and Anti-nutritional contents of the foursample portions respectively.
- 75
- 76
- 10
- 77
- 78

s/n	Sampl	Moisture	Ash (%)	Fibre (%)	Protein	Lipid (%)	CHO (%)	Caloric Val
	e	(%)			(%)			(Kcal)
1	A	80.48 <u>+</u> 0.04 5	2.25 <u>+</u> 0.02 5	1.71 <u>+</u> 0.02 1	8.61 <u>+</u> 0.12 8	0.56 <u>+</u> 0.001	86.58 <u>+</u> 0.11 3	385.88 <u>+</u> 0.11 2
2	В	82.53 <u>+</u> 0.03 8	3.28 <u>+</u> 0.01	2.20 <u>+</u> 0.01 5	5.95 <u>+</u> 0.00 0	1.36 <u>+</u> 0.02	87.15 <u>+</u> 0.04 0	384.00 <u>+</u> 0.10 6
3	С	9.47 <u>+</u> 0.006	3.90 <u>+</u> 0.02	1.69 <u>+</u> 0.01 0	6.24 <u>+</u> 0.09 8	6.87 <u>+</u> 0.025	81.07 <u>+</u> 0.04 8	411.17 <u>+</u> 0.46 5
4	D	8.84 <u>+</u> 0.021	3.38 <u>+</u> 0.02 0	2.23 <u>+</u> 0.02 5	7.02 <u>+</u> 0.04 0	13.44 <u>+</u> 0.02 0	73.92 <u>+</u> 0.07 6	444.75 <u>+</u> 0.03 1

Table 1: Proximate composition for cocoyam analysis

Values are means  $\pm$  SD triplicate determinations. A= raw (control I), B= cooked without dietary palm oil (control II), C= cooked with 1:5 dietary palm oil, D = cooked with 1:10 dietary palm oil

80

81

Table 2: Anti-nutrient determination in cocoyam

S/N	Sample	HCN(%)	Tanin (%)	Oxalate (%)	Phytate (%)
1	А	10.21 <u>+</u> 0.030	22.20 <u>+</u> 0.015	30.28 <u>+</u> 0.080	1.78 <u>+</u> 0.021
2	В	7.38 <u>+</u> 0.032	16.13 <u>+</u> 0.010	22.34 <u>+</u> 0.481	0.47 <u>+</u> 0.015
3	С	7.11 <u>+</u> 0.020	9.85 <u>+</u> 0.021	10.61 <u>+</u> 0.120	ND
4	D	6.77 <u>+</u> 0.015	6.34 <u>+</u> 0.554	7.39 <u>+</u> 0.066	ND

Results: mg/100g. Values are means  $\pm$  SD triplicate determinations, ND= Not detected, A= raw (control I), B= cooked without dietary palm oil (control II), C= cooked with 1:5 dietary palm oil, D = cooked with 1:10 dietary palm oil

82

83

### 84 **Proximate Analysis of Cocoyam Samples**

Table 1 contains results for proximate analysis of the different cocoyam portions.

### 86 **Moisture content**

87 The average results recorded for moisture content of the raw cocoyam portion was 80.48% this is

slightly higher than the values reported for raw cocoyam samples [19; 9]. Ndon *et al.* [9] had reported

89 moisture contents of between 70 to 77% as average for raw cocoyam, therefore cocoyam is a water

- 90 holding tuber. These moisture values were observed to be very high compared to those obtained for
- 91 raw cocoyam tubers grown in Ethiopia [20]. The boiled portion had average moisture content of
- 82.53%. While this value is higher than that of the raw, obviously due to the water used for the boiling
  process, it was way higher than the 10.19% value reported by Adane *et al.* [20] for cocoyam grown in
- 94 Ethiopia. Cocoyam portions (C and D) boiled with oil amendment had lower values than the portions
- 95 without oil treatments (9.47 and 8.84% respectively). This moisture value reduction could be due to
- 96 the addition of oil as oil and water do not mix well.

#### 97 Ash content

98 The average ash content for the cocoyam portion was 2.25%. This study value was slightly lower than 99 those reported by Adane *et al.* [20] and Ndabikunze *et al.* [19] who also evaluated raw cocoyam. For 100 the boiled cocoyam portion, result was also lower when compared with ash content value from works 101 of Adane *et al.* [20] but higher than the value reported by Kolawole and Obueh [21] for boiled 102 cocoyam samples. The ash content values for cocoyam samples boiled and amended with oil were 103 observed to be higher than sample portions without oil amendments. This could be resultant from the 104 oil amendment.

### 105 **Fibre content**

- The average fibre content for the raw cocoyam portion was 1.71% and in the fibre value range (1.96%) obtained by Ndabikunze *et al.* [19] for raw cocoyam samples. These values were seen to be low when compared to result by Adane *et al.* [20] who had 2.63% raw cocoyam samples. Fibre content value for boiled cocoyam portion for this study was lower than values obtained by Adane *et al.* [20] and Kolawale and Obueh [21] for boiled cocoyam samples. Adane *et al.* [20] also reported higher fibre
- 111 content for fermented cocoyam. The boiled cocoyam portion with 1:10 oil amendment had the highest
- 112 fibre content of 2.23%.

### 113 **Protein content**

- The average protein content recorded for raw cocoyam sample in this study was found to be higher than values obtained by Adane *et al.* [20] and Ndabikunze *et al.* [21]. Protein content value (5.95%)
- 116 for boiled cocoyam was in same value range with study report by Adane *et al.* [20] but higher than
- average value (0.93%) reported by Kolawale and Obueh [21] for cocoyam grown in Ethiopia. With oil
- amendment, protein contents for boiled cocoyam portions C and D were higher.

### 119 Lipid content

The lipid/fat content (0.56%) of cocoyam obtained for this study is in the range of average value obtained by Adane *et al.* [20]. Lipid content of boiled portion of cocoyam obtained in this study was higher than those reported by Adane *et al.* [20] and Kolawale and Obueh [21] for boiled cocoyam samples. Oil additions would normally increase the lipid content as obvious in this result.

## 124 Carbohydrate content

- 125 The average carbohydrate content (86.58%) was in same value range with that reported by Adane *et*
- *al.* [20] but higher than values reported by Ndabikunze *et al.* [19] for raw cocoyam samples. Boiled
- 127 cocoyam samples for this work also have same value (87.15%) range result as the work of Adane *et al.*

- 128 [20] for carbohydrate. Boiled cocoyam portions (C and D), with oil amendment, recorded reductions
- in their carbohydrate content values.

## 130 Caloric content

- 131 Same trend observed with the carbohydrate content is observable with the caloric content for raw and
- boiled cocoyam portions of this study. The oil amended portions also recorded lower caloric values
- 133 [20].

### 134 Anti-nutritional Compositions of Cocoyam Samples

Evaluation of the anti-nutritional contents of cocoyam portions are as contained in Table 2.

### 136 **Phytate content**

- 137 Result from this study indicates that the average phytate content for raw cocoyam portion was 1.78%.
- 138 This value falls within range value gotten from studies conducted by Abdulrashid and Agwunobi [22].
- 139 With boiling the value is seen to lower (0.47%) than that obtained from the raw portions and is totally
- not detectable in cocoyam portions with oil amendment [12; 13; 14]. High content of phytate in foods
- is of nutritional significance because phytate phosphorous is unavailable to human, but its presence
- lowers the availability of many other dietary minerals such as iron and zinc [23].

## 143 **Oxalate content**

- The average oxalate content was recorded as 30.28% for raw cocoyam portion. Boiling treatment reduced the oxalate value to 22.34% while boiled and oil amended cocoyam portions (C and D) had
- even lower oxalate values [12; 13; 14]. This reduction accounted for about 76% of the initial Oxalate
- 147 content. This values support the findings of Abdulrashid and Agwunobi [22] who had recorded a value
- of 33.32% and 21.70% for raw and boiled cocoyam samples. FAO [24] reports that oxalates are major
- anti-nutritional factors also present in cocoyam. Studies by Noonan and Savage [25] has it that oxalate
- 150 has a deleterious effect on human nutrition and health particularly by decreasing calcium absorption
- and aiding the formation of kidney stones.

## 152 **Tannin content**

- Average values of Tannin for raw (22.20%) and boiled (16.13%) cocoyam portions was observed to be
- very high compared to the value recorded for raw and boiled cocoyam samples by Abdulrashid and
- 155 Agwunobi [22]. Compared to others, cocoyam portions boiled and amended with oil showed
- significant reductions (71% of its initial content) in Tannin values [12; 13; 14]. Foods rich in tannins
- are considered to be of low nutritional value because they precipitate proteins, inhibiting digestive
- enzymes and iron absorption and affect the utilization of vitamins and minerals from meals, according
- to report by Tinko and Uyano [26].

## 160 Cyanide content

- 161 Average Cyanide values obtained in this study for raw and boiled cocoyam portions (10.21 and
- 162 7.38%) were higher than values (1.02 and 1.01%) recorded for raw and boiled cocoyam samples by
- 163 Abdulrashid and Agwunobi [22]. Although boiling and oil amendment reduced the values further up to
- 164 34% of its initial content, they were still higher than those reported by Abdulrashid and Agwunobi
- 165 [22].

#### 166 Statistical Analysis

167 Data analyzed using ANOVA table showed that the cooking and addition of oil to the cocoyam 168 portions was significant at (p=0.05).

#### 169 CONCLUSION

The influence of the dietary palm oil on the proximate composition and anti-nutritional content of 170 cocoyam (Colocasia esculenta) can be concluded thus: the use of dietary palm oil has shown 171 172 significant improvement in the proximate composition; the boiled and dietary oil amended cocoyam samples had higher protein, fat, crude fibre and carbohydrate values than those cocoyam portions that 173 received only cooking treatment. From the result, cocoyam is a good source of dietary energy and 174 essential minerals, provided the traditional processing techniques are improved on. The quantity of 175 176 energy obtainable from cocoyam makes it one of the most carbohydrate-rich foods this part of Africa and can be considered as a crop which can contribute to the efforts of the Nigerian government to 177 alleviate food and nutrition security. Many works abound in the use of cocoyam for poultry and other 178

179 livestock supplements.

180 It is therefore recommended that treatments (eg heating/boiling and addition of dietary palm oil) 181 should be adopted as these help in no small way to reduce the anti-nutrient content of cocoyam.

182

#### 183 **REFERENCES**

- Bora P. Anti-Nutritional Factors in Foods and their Effects. *Journal of Academia and Industrial Research*. 2014: 3(6): 285-290.
- Soetan K, Oyewole O. The need for adequate processing to reduce the anti-nutritional factors
  in plants used as human foods and animal feeds: A review. *African Journal of Food Science*2009: 3 (9): 223-232.
- Pollock NJ. Cambridge World History of Food. Editor: Kenneth F Kiple & Kriemhild Conee
   Ornelas. volume 1. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge university press, 2000.
- 4. Adisa BO, Okunede EO. Women in Agriculture and Rural Development. In Madukwe, M.C.
   (edition), *Agricultural Extension in Nigeria* (2nd edition), AESON Publication ARMTI, Ilorin,
   Nigeria, 2011: pp 90-100.
- 194 5. Agueguia A. Non-destructive Estimation of Leaf Area in Cocoyam (*Xanthosoma sagktifolium* 195 [1.] Schott). *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science*, 1993: 171, 138-141.
- 196 6. Giacometti DC, León J. Tannia. Yautia (*Xanthosoma sagittifolium*). In: *Neglected Crops: 1492* 197 *from a different perspective*. Plant Production and Protection Series No. 26. Rome, Italy: FAO.
   198 (Eds. J.E Hernaldo & J. León), Rome, Italy, pp. 1994: 253-258.
- 7. Mbong GA, Fokunang CN, Fontem LA, Bambot MB, Tembe EA. An Overview of
   *Phytophthora colocasiae* of Cocoyams: A potential economic disease of food security in
   Cameroon. *Discourse Journal of Agriculture and Food Sciences*, 2013: 1(9): 140-145.
- 8. Nwanekezi EC, Owuamanam CI, Ihediohanma NC, Iwouno JO. Functional, Particle Size and
   Sorption Isotherm of Cocoyam Comel Flours. *Journal of Nutrition*, 2010: 9, 973-979.
- 9. Ndon BA, Ndulaka N, Ndaego NU. Stabilization of Yield Parameters and some Nutrient
   Components in Cocoyam Cultivars with time in Uyo, *S.E Nig. Glob. J. Agric. Sci.* 2003:
   2(2):74-78.

207	<u>10.</u>	Sefa-Dedeh S, Agir-Sackey EK. Chemical Composition and the effect of Processing on
208		Oxalate content of Cocoyam Xanthosoma sagittifolium and Colocosia esculenta, Food
209		<i>Chemistry</i> , 2004: 85(4): 479-487.
210	<u>11.</u>	McEwan R, Shangase FN, Djarova T, Opoku AR. Effect of three Processing Methods on some
211		Nutrient and Anti-nutritional Factor Constituent of Colocasis esculenta (Amadumbe). African
212		Journal of Food Science, 2014: 8(5):286-291.
213	12.	FAO. Roots, Tubers, Plantains and Bananas in Human Nutrition. Rome: FAO corporate
214		Documentary repository. 2013.
215	13.	Akande KE. Doma UD. Agu HO, Adamu HU. Major Anti-nutrients found in Plants Proteins
216		Sources: the Effect on Nutrition. <i>Pakistani Journal of Nutrition</i> , 2010: 9(8): 827-832.
217	14.	Barde MI, Hassan LG, Faruq UZ, Maigandi SA, Umar KJ. Study of Bioavailability of Ca and
218		Zn in flesh of yellow <i>Terminalia catappa</i> (Linn) Fruits. <i>Nigerian Journal of Basic and Applied</i>
219		<i>Science</i> , 2012: 20 (3): 205-207.
220	15	Sambanthamurthi R, Sundram K, Tan Y. Biochemistry of Palm oil. <i>Prog. Lipid Resources</i> ,
220	<del>1</del>	2000: 37, 507-558.
221	16	AOAC. Official Methods of Analysis 18 <sup>th</sup> ed. Washington, DC: Association of Official
	10.	Analytical Chemists, 2005.
223	17	
224	1/.	Ukpabi UJ, Ejidoh JI. Effect of Deep oil frying on the Oxalate content and the Degree of
225		itching of Cocoyams (Xanthosoma and Colocasia spp). Technical paper University of
226		Technology, Owerri, Nigeria, 3-6 Sept. Vol 1 (1) Sept: 9-13, Publishers: Science Education
227		Development Institute Nd edition) AESON Publication ARMTI, Ilorin, Nigeria 1989: Pp 90-
228		100.
229	<u>18.</u>	Nkama I, Gbenyi DI. The effects of Malting of Millet & Sorghum on the Residue Phytate and
230		Poly-phenols in "Dakura", a Nigerian Cereal/legume Snack Food. Nig. Trop. J Agri, 2001: 3,
231		<mark>270-271.</mark>
232	<mark>19.</mark>	Ndabikunze BK, Taliwana HAL, Mongi RS, Issa-Zacharia A, Serem AK, Palapala V, Nandi
233		JOM. Proximate and Mineral Composition of Cocoyam (Colocasia esculenta, L) grown along
234		the lake Victoria Basin in Tanzania and Uganda. African Journal of Food Science. 2011: 5(4):
235		<mark>248-254.</mark>
236	<mark>20.</mark>	Adane T, Shimelis A, Negussie R, Tilahun B, Haki GD. Effect of Processing Method on the
237		Proximate Composition, Mineral Content And Antinutritional factors of Taro (Colocasia
238		esculenta, L.) grown in Ethiopia. African Journal of Food, Agriculture, Nutrition and
239		Development. 2013: 13(2): 7383-7398.
240	21.	Kolawole SE, Obueh HO. Proximate and micronutrient compositions of some selected foods
241		and diets in South–South Nigeria. Scholarly Journals of Biotechnology. 2012: 1(3):45-48.
242	22.	Abdulrashid M, Agwunobi LN. Tannia (Xanthosoma sagittifolium) Cocoyam as Dietary
243		Substitute for Maize in Broiler Chicken. Greener Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2012: 2(5):
244		167-171.
245	23	Siddhuraju P, Becker K. Effect of various Domestic Processing Methods on Anti-nutrients, in
246	<u> </u>	<i>vitro</i> -Protein and Starch Digestibility of two Indigenous Varieties of Indian pulses, <i>Mucuna</i>
247		pruries var utilis. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 2001:49 (6): 3058–3067.
247	24	FAO. Roots, Tubers, Plantains and Bananas in Human Nutrition. Rome: Food and Agriculture
248	<u>~</u> т.	Organization of the United Nations ISBN 92-5-102862. 1990.
249 250	25	Noonan S, Savage GP. Oxalate Content of Food and its effect on Humans. Asia Pacific
	<b>2</b> J.	Journal of Clinical Nutrition 1999: 8(1):64-74.
251	26	
252	<u>20.</u>	Tinko N, Uyano K. Spectrophotometric Determination of the Tannin Contents of various
253		Turkish Black tea, Beer and Wine samples. International Journal of Food Sciences and
254		<i>Nutrition</i> , 2001: 52, 289–294.