

Oxidation of Electron-Rich Pollutants Using Peroxidase from Fenugreek Waste

ABSTRACT

Aims: To assess the capacity of peroxidase from non-edible fenugreek waste for removal of phenol, a model electron-rich pollutant, from synthetic wastewater

Study design: This study involved one-factor-at-a-time analysis. Reaction parameters such as pH of reaction mixture and concentrations of phenol and hydrogen peroxide were optimized one at a time.

Place and Duration of Study: Plant Biotechnology Research Laboratory, Ramniranjan Jhunjhunwala College, Mumbai between 2014 and 2015.

Methodology: The oxidative removal of the model pollutant, phenol, was assessed at ambient temperatures. The pH as well as concentrations of phenol and hydrogen peroxide were varied to optimize each reaction parameter. Each parameter was optimized singly while maintaining the other parameters constant.

Results: The maximum activity (in guaiacol units) of peroxidase was observed at pH 6.0 in the presence of 6 mmol L⁻¹ hydrogen peroxide. The removal of phenol achieved was maximum, 64.29% in 24 h, when the initial phenol concentration was 2 mmol L⁻¹. Phenol removal proceeded through oxidative polymerization and settling of flocs.

Conclusion: The non-edible waste from fenugreek is a rich source of crude peroxidase from which the enzyme can be easily extracted. The use of vegetable waste as a source of a bioremediative enzyme is the primary focus of this work. This crude enzyme has potential for use in wastewater treatment by the oxidative degradation of electron-rich pollutants, such as phenols, amines, and azo dyes.

Keywords: Fenugreek, Peroxidase, Enzymatic wastewater treatment, Bioremediation, Electron-rich pollutants

1. INTRODUCTION

Peroxidases are enzymes classified as “oxidoreductases” (Veitch, 2004). These enzymes are highly conserved and are widely distributed across kingdoms in the living world. They are found in bacteria, fungi, plants, and animals (Zamocky et al, 2015). These enzymes have been conserved because they protect organisms from the oxidative damage caused by the oxygen-rich atmosphere (Zamocky and Obinger, 2010). In plants, peroxidases have numerous physiological roles, including the lignification of cell walls and metabolism of indole-3-acetic acid (Veitch, 2004, Penel and Castillo, 1991). Enzymes are being explored for their potential as catalysts in various fields. Enzymatic treatment is considered as an effective alternative to conventional effluent treatment methods. They represent “green chemistry” in effluent treatment (Ejder-Korucu et al, 2015; Gitsov et al, 2009; Buchanan and Nicell, 1999). Several enzymatic reactions typically occur at ambient temperatures. These reactions often require near neutral pH and low reactant concentrations. (Nelson and Cox, 2004). Hence, the use of enzymes enables working under mild reaction conditions (Gioia et al, 2015). Oxidative enzymes like peroxidases and laccases are particularly important in the oxidative degradation of electron-rich pollutants because of their wide range of substrates. Peroxidases, such as horseradish peroxidase (EC 1.11.1.7), require hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) as the co-substrate (Zille et al, 2004), whereas laccases (EC 1.10.3.2) require molecular oxygen (Kersten et al, 1990). However, the redox potential of peroxidases in the presence of H₂O₂ (oxidized peroxidase) is sufficiently high to enable it to accept electrons from a variety of electron-rich substrates. Peroxidases such as lignin peroxidase and horseradish peroxidase are stronger oxidizing agents than is laccase (Kersten et al, 1990). This makes peroxidases suitable for the oxidation of oxidizable, electron-rich pollutants, such as phenols, amines, azo dyes, and triarylmethane dyes, that are commonly present in effluents from various industries.

In this study, we have selected phenol as a representative of electron-rich pollutants. Phenols and their derivatives are present in effluents from industries manufacturing and processing paper, textiles, plastics, and dyes. Phenol is a pollutant associated with anthropological activities including paper manufacture, coal mining, and wood processing. Natural sources of phenol include forest fires and natural decay of lignocellulosic material. Phenols impart an undesirable odor and taste to water, which are perceivable even at low concentrations. Phenols are suspected to show carcinogenic activity in humans and other organisms.

Phenol and its derivatives are considered toxic because they can affect the central nervous system, irritate mucus membranes, and cause bleaching and erosion of skin.

Therefore, the permissible limit of phenols is $1\text{--}2\text{ }\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ for drinking water (Bureau of Indian Standards, 2009). Moreover, phenols can adversely affect several organisms that inhabit the water bodies. Hence, the removal of phenols from effluents, before they meet natural water bodies, is necessary. The conventional methods of removal phenols from effluents include advanced oxidation processes, such as electro-oxidation, coagulation, and the Fenton process along with its several variations (Naghibi et al, 2003). Although these methods effectively remove phenols from effluents, some of these methods, such as electro-oxidation require sophisticated, expensive equipment (Abo-Farah, 2010). The Fenton process, often used for its cost-effectiveness and ease of handling also has certain drawbacks such as a low operational pH and the need for replenishing iron salts to sustain the oxidation reaction (Abo-Farah, 2010). In this study, we investigated phenol removal using peroxidase enzyme. Peroxidases can oxidize electron-rich substrates, such as phenols (Naghibi et al, 2003; Stanisavljević and Nedić, 2004), and prepare the phenol molecules for polymerization (Buchanan and Nicell, 1999). Consequently, molecules like phenol form a water insoluble, large molecular weight polymer that forms a precipitate and can be separated by filtration (Kobayashi and Higashimura, 2003; Gupta and Gupta, 2006; Mukherji, 2012).

Fenugreek seeds and dried leaves have been used for centuries as condiments and spices (Spices Board of India, 2012). The leaves and tender stems are commonly used as a vegetable. The seeds have been reported to contain peroxidase (Husain et al, 2010). We found that the non-edible stems and roots (vegetable waste) of fenugreek plants are also rich in peroxidases. The present study investigated the oxidative removal an electron-rich pollutant, such as phenol, using peroxidase extracted from fenugreek vegetable waste. The waste constitutes a large fraction of the fresh weight of fenugreek plants. Using vegetable waste as the source of enzyme adds to the utility of this plant, without adversely affecting the edible yield.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Chemicals

Phenol was obtained from Merck, India Ltd. (Mumbai) Guaiacol (2- methoxy phenol) was purchased from Sisco Research Laboratories, India. Hydrogen peroxide was purchased from Qualigens Fine Chemicals, Navi Mumbai, India. Chemicals for buffer preparation, such as Potassium dihydrogen phosphate, KOH, Citric acid, and Trisodium citrate, were purchased from S.D. Fine Chemicals (Boisar), India, while Tris-HCl was purchased from Loba Chemie, Mumbai.

2.2 Enzyme extraction

Vegetable waste of fenugreek plants was collected from the local vegetable market. The roots and stems were washed thoroughly with tap water to remove the adhering soil, laid out on sheets of blotting paper, and air dried at 30°C. The vegetable waste was divided into 5 sets depending on the source (vendor) from which the waste was obtained. The fresh weight of each set was recorded. The plant material was macerated in a pre-chilled mortar and pestle with an appropriate volume of chilled extraction buffer (0.1 mol L^{-1} $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4\text{--KOH}$, pH 5.8) to obtain a material to liquor ratio of 1:10. The suspension was centrifuged at 2500 rpm ($1610\times g$) for 10 min. The supernatant was used as the crude enzyme extract.

2.3 Activity of peroxidase extract

The activity of the crude peroxidase extract was estimated using the method of Kim and Yoo (1996) with guaiacol as a substrate. One unit (1 U) was defined as the amount of enzyme that converts 1

89 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ of substrate into product in 1 min. The activity (in U mL^{-1}) of the crude peroxidase was
90 calculated using the following formula:

91 $\text{Activity (U mL}^{-1}\text{)} = \Delta \text{ Absorbance min}^{-1} \times \text{FV.EV}^{-1} \times \text{dilution. } (\epsilon 470)^{-1}$

92 where FV is the final volume of the reaction mixture (mL), EV is the volume of crude enzyme (mL),
93 and $\epsilon 470$ is the molar absorptivity of guaiacol ($\text{mL } \mu\text{mol}^{-1}.\text{cm}^{-1}$).

94 **2.4 Enzymatic removal of phenol**

95 The removal of phenol was assessed by measuring the initial and final absorbance of the reaction
96 mixture at 270 nm (Zhang et al, 2000; Trinh et al, 2015), using a JASCO V-530 spectrophotometer,
97 after incubation for 24 h. The absence of interference by nucleic acids was ascertained by measuring
98 the spectra of the enzyme extract in the absence and presence of phenol. All phenol removal
99 experiments were performed at ambient temperatures ($30 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$). A negative control reaction
100 mixture, which did not contain crude enzyme was prepared to verify that phenol removal occurred
101 because of the crude peroxidase. The percentage removal of phenol was calculated using the
102 following formula:

103 $\% \text{ Decolorization} = (\text{Ai} - \text{Af}) \times \text{Af} \times 100$

104 where Ai and Af represent the initial and final absorbance, at 270 nm, of the reaction mixture.

105 **2.5 Optimization of reaction conditions**

106 **2.5.1 Effect of pH**

107 The pH of the reaction mixture was varied between 3.0 and 8.0 using a variety of buffers in the reaction
108 mixture. The pH range 3.0–5.0 was obtained using 0.1 mol L^{-1} citrate buffers. Phosphate buffers were
109 used to obtain the pH range 6.0–8.0 (0.1 mol L^{-1}). The reaction mixture contained an initial phenol
110 concentration of 2 mmol L^{-1} , approximately $0.4 \pm 0.01 \text{ U}$ of enzyme, and 1.0 mmol L^{-1} of H_2O_2 in
111 addition to the buffer for each respective pH.

112 **2.5.2 Phenol concentration**

113 The initial concentration of phenol was varied between 1 and 4 mmol L^{-1} . The other components of the
114 reaction mixture were as previously mentioned. The optimization of initial phenol concentration was
115 performed at pH 6.0 because the enzyme activity was maximum at pH 6.0. A control that did not
116 contain phenol in the reaction mixture was maintained to check for the inadvertent presence of another
117 electron-rich substrate in the reaction mixture.

118 **2.5.3 Hydrogen peroxide concentration**

119 The concentration of H_2O_2 used to initiate the reaction was varied between 1 and 10 mmol L^{-1} . The
120 other components of the reaction mixture are as previously mentioned. The optimization of initial phenol
121 concentration was performed at pH 6.0. A control that did not contain H_2O_2 was maintained to account
122 for spontaneous oxidation of phenol by enzymes other than peroxidases.

123

124 **2.6 Statistical analysis**

125 All experiments were performed using triplicates. All data are reported as mean $\pm$ standard deviation
126 (SD). All optimizations were performed per the one-factor-at-a-time analysis design. The effects of
127 varying different reaction were compared using ANOVA and Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT).
128 Categories that have been assigned the same alphabet are not significantly different from each other
129 at $P = .05$.

130

131

3. RESULTS

3.1 Activity of enzyme peroxidase

The activity of the crude peroxidase extract in terms of guaiacol units was $2.01 \pm 0.06 \text{ U mL}^{-1}$. Considering that the crude extract was prepared from 1.0 g of fresh fenugreek waste, on average, the peroxidase content of the fenugreek waste is 20.1 U g^{-1} . Various dilutions of the crude extract were used in different experiments.

As illustrated in Fig. 1, the highest activity (2.10 U mL^{-1}) was observed at pH 6.0. The maximum activity of the crude peroxidase is observed between pH 5.0 and pH 7.0. We used pH 6.0 as the optimum pH while optimizing the other reaction parameters.




Fig. 1. Guaiacol activity of crude peroxidase extracts of fenugreek at different pH, based on the method of Kim and Yoo (1996)

$P = .05$; Mean $\pm$ SD = Mean values $\pm$ Standard deviation ($n = 5$)

3.2 Effect of Hydrogen Peroxide on Peroxidase Activity

As depicted in Fig. 2, the activity of crude fenugreek peroxidase at different concentrations of the cosubstrate H_2O_2 . The highest activity of the extract is obtained at pH 6.0. An excess of H_2O_2 has been reported to inhibit the activity of plant peroxidases (Veitch, 2004). This effect is observed in Fig. 2.




Fig. 2. Activity of crude peroxidase at different concentrations of hydrogen peroxide (pH 6.0)

P = .05; Mean $\pm$ SD = Mean values $\pm$ Standard deviation (*n* = 5)

3.3 Removal of Phenol

As seen in Fig. 3, the highest removal of phenol was observed when the initial concentration was 2 mmol L⁻¹. The removal of phenol decreases progressively with an increase in the initial concentration of phenol. However, the removal of phenol at higher initial concentrations of phenol was approximately 50%. The enzymatic oxidation method has potential application as a pretreatment method for phenol removal because it may effectively reduce the load of phenol (pollutant) before further processing.




Fig. 3. Phenol removal by crude peroxidase over 24 h (pH 6.0 and 6.0 mmol L⁻¹ H₂O₂)

4. DISCUSSION

The activity of peroxidase was highest at pH 6.0 (Fig. 1). The formation of phenolic polymers is not favored at very low pH (Xavier et al, 2011) (Fig. 5). The oxidation of phenols by oxidative enzymes also leads to polymer formation. Therefore, the oxidation of phenols by the enzyme peroxidase is higher at pH 6.0 than at pH 3.0 and 4.0. Although the polymerization of phenols also occurs under alkaline conditions (Fig. 4), the activity of peroxidase is significantly reduced above 6.0. Hence, the pH of the reaction mixture was maintained at pH 6.0 for all further reactions.

Phenols tend to polymerize on oxidation and form flocs. The flocs can be separated from solution by simple settling or filtration (Buchanan and Nicell, 1999). Hence oxidative removal is a method of choice for pollutants such as phenols. The enzymatic method of phenol removal may be an effective pretreatment method to reduce the pollutant load of wastewater.

The oxidation of phenol by peroxidase requires H_2O_2 as a cosubstrate. In fact, increasing the concentration of H_2O_2 does increase the rate of the oxidation reaction (Fig. 2). However, an excess of H_2O_2 has been reported to inhibit the activity of oxidative enzymes, such as peroxidases (Veitch, 2004; Hollman and Arends, 2012). This effect is depicted in Fig. 2. The activity of the crude enzyme increases steadily until the concentration of H_2O_2 reaches 6 mmol L^{-1} . Thereafter, the activity of crude peroxidase decreases steadily above 6 mmol L^{-1} . Therefore, for the phenol-removal experiment, the concentration of H_2O_2 was maintained at 6 mmol L^{-1} .




Fig. 4. Oxidation of phenol under alkaline conditions (Source: Mukherji, 2012)




Fig. 5. Oxidation of phenol under acidic conditions (Source: Mukherji, 2012)

One of the factors that required further optimization is the reaction time. The time required for a reaction using a highly purified form of an enzyme like peroxidase would be considerably more rapid. Decolorization reactions using pure enzymes, such as standard horseradish peroxidase, have been reported to reach completion in 5 min (Maddhinni et al, 2006). The phenol removal was measured after 24 h. The removal of phenolic pollutants using conventional techniques, such as electro-oxidation and Advanced Oxidation Processes, typically occurs in 0.5 to 2 h. Enzymatic reactions for the removal of pollutants involving pure enzymes reach completion within 5 to 30 min (Ambatkar and Mukundan, 2015). However, because we have used a crude enzyme extract in the current study, the contact time was significantly longer. Crude extracts often contain a consortium of enzymes. For instance, fenugreek roots contain ascorbate peroxidase (Elleuch et al, 2013), which has a considerably higher affinity for H_2O_2 than guaiacol peroxidase ($k_m = 3 \text{ }\mu\text{M}$ vs. $k_m = 50 \text{ }\mu\text{M}$), which is the major enzyme present in the extract (Penel and Castillo, 1991; Chaurasia et al, 2013). Thus, the reaction times with crude extracts are not as short as those with purified enzymes. The use of crude peroxidase achieved 64.9% removal of phenol in 24 h. The proposed method is cost effective primarily because of the use of a crude enzyme extract. The primary expenditure associated with the use of enzymes is that of purification. The use of a crude enzyme, obtained from vegetable waste, considerably reduces the cost of using enzymes.

The novelty of the present study lies in the source of the enzyme peroxidase. The non-edible parts of the fenugreek plant are the source of peroxidase. Considering that these parts are usually disposed as waste, acquiring the source does not involve expenditure. Extraction the crude enzyme is also relatively simple and does not require either specialized equipment, fine chemicals, or skill. The crude enzyme could engender a removal of 64.9% phenol when the initial concentration of phenol was 2 mmol L⁻¹.

The phenol-removal process can be made more efficient, in terms of enzyme usage, by immobilizing the enzyme in the form of beads or through adsorption on to a support (Adam et al, 1999; Kandelbauer, 2004). Adsorption of enzymes to the supporting material is subject to reaction conditions. Drastic reaction conditions, such as very high or low pH, high temperature, and high substrate concentrations, can cause the desorption of the enzyme from the support. Bead formation or encapsulation of enzymes is likely to provide greater reusability of the enzymes.

Polymerized phenols produced because of enzyme-mediated oxidation have been reported to have applications such as photolithography (integrated circuits), manufacture of rechargeable battery material, and non-linear optics (Ayyagari et al, 1996). Optimizing the enzyme-mediated removal of phenols to obtain the desired degree of polymerization may enable the use of removed phenol for the aforementioned applications, after appropriate processing.

4. CONCLUSION

The non-edible stems and roots of fenugreek plants are a rich source of enzyme peroxidase. The crude peroxidase enzyme extracted from fenugreek waste can oxidize electron-rich substrates, such as phenols, from synthetic wastewater. In the present study, crude peroxidase could remove between 50%–64% of phenol when the initial concentration was 2–4 mmol L⁻¹. Fenugreek peroxidase may have potential application for the preliminary treatment of wastewater. Additional experiments are required to achieve and optimize reusability of the crude enzyme in treatment of wastewater.

REFERENCES

1. Veitch NC. Horseradish peroxidase: A modern view of a classical enzyme. *Phytochemistry*. 2004;65:249-59. DOI: 10.1016/j.phytochem.2003.10.022.
2. Zámocký M, Hofbauer S, Schaffner I, Gasselhuber B, Nicolussi A, Soudi M, Pirker KF, Furtmüller PG, Obinger C. Independent evolution of four heme peroxidase superfamilies. *Arch Biochem Biophys*. 2015; 574:108-19.
3. Zamocky M, Obinger C. Molecular phylogeny of heme peroxidases. In: Torres E, Ayala M editors. *Biocatalysis based on heme peroxidases*. Heidelberg: Springer; 2010.
4. Penel C, Castillo FJ. Oxidoreduction at the plasma membrane: Relation to growth and transport. Crane FL, Morré DJ, Löw HE, editors. Boca Raton (USA): CRC Press; 1991.
5. Zille A, Ramalho P, Tzanov T, Millward R, Aires V, Cardoso MH, et al. Predicting dye biodegradation from redox potentials, *Biotechnol. Progr.* 2004;20:1588-92. DOI: 10.1021/bp049963i
6. Bureau of Indian Standards. Indian standards for drinking water. 2009 available at www.bis.org.in
7. Naghibi F, Pourmorad F, Honary S, and Shamsi M. Decontamination of water polluted with phenol using *Raphanus Sativus* root, Iran. *J. Pharmaceut. Res.* 2003;2(1):29-32.
8. Abo-Farah SA. Comparative study of oxidation of some azo dyes by different Advanced Oxidation Processes, Fenton, Fenton-like, photo-Fenton and photo-Fenton-like. *J Am Sci.* 2010;6:128-42.
9. Buchanan ID, Nicell, JA. A simplified model of peroxidase-catalyzed phenol removal from aqueous solution. 1999; *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.*, 74: 669-74. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-4660(199907)74:7<669:AID-JCTB100>3.0.CO;2-Z
10. Gitsov I, Simonyan A, Tanenbaum S. Hybrid enzymatic catalysts for environmentally benign biotransformations and polymerizations. *ACS Polym.* 2009;50(2):40.

- 270 11. Nelson C and Cox M. Principles of biochemistry. 4th ed. New York: W. H. Freeman; 2004.
- 271 12. Gioia L, Rodríguez-Couto S, Menéndez MDP, Manta C, Ovsejevi K. Reversible covalent
- 272 immobilization of *Trametes villosa* laccase onto thiol-sulfinate-agarose: An insoluble biocatalyst
- 273 with potential for decoloring recalcitrant dyes. *Biotechnol. Appl. Biochem.* 2015; 62(4): 502-513.
- 274 13. Kersten PJ, Kalyanaraman B, Hammel KE, Reinhammar B, Kirk TK. Comparison of lignin
- 275 peroxidase, horseradish peroxidase and laccase in the oxidation of methoxybenzenes. *Biochem.*
- 276 *J.* 1990;268(2):475-80.
- 277 14. Husain Q, Karim Z, Banday ZZ. *Water Air Soil Pollut.* 2010 212: 319. doi:10.1007/s11270-010-
- 278 0345-9
- 279 15. Spices Board India. Fenugreek Booklet No. 482. 2012 available at:
- 280 http://www.indianspices.com/html/spices_spfarm_fenu.html
- 281 16. Kim YH, Yoo JY. Peroxidases production from carrot hairy root cell culture. *Enzyme Microb Tech.*
- 282 1996;18:531-53.
- 283 17. Trinh DTT, Le STT, Khanitchaidecha W, Nakaruk A. Investigation of photocatalytic degradation of
- 284 phenol using titanium dioxide particles *J. Ind. Pollut. Contr.* 2015 (2016).
- 285 18. Zhang Q, Gao L, Guo J, Effects of calcination on the photocatalytic properties of nanosized TiO₂
- 286 powders prepared by TiCl₄ hydrolysis. *Appl. Catal. B-Environ.* 26 : 207-215
- 287 19. Stanisavljević M, Nedić L. Removal of phenol from industrial wastewaters by horseradish
- 288 (*Cochlearia armoracia* L.), *Work. Liv. Env. Prot.* 2004;2(4):345-49.
- 289 20. Kobayashi S, Higashimura H. Oxidative polymerization of phenols revisited. *Prog. Polym. Sci.*
- 290 2003;28(6):1015-48.
- 291 21. Gupta SK, Gupta SK, Hung YT. Treatment of pharmaceutical waste. In: Wang LK, Hung YT,
- 292 Howard HL, Yapijakis C, editors. *Waste treatment in the process Industries.* New York: CRC
- 293 Taylor and Francis; 2006.
- 294 22. Mukherji SM. Phenols. In: *Organic chemistry, Volume 2.* New Delhi: New Age International
- 295 Publication; 2012.
- 296 23. Xavier JLN, Ortega E, Feraier JZ, Bernades AM, Pérez-Herranz V. An electrochemical study of
- 297 phenol oxidation in acidic medium. *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.* 2011; 6:622-36.
- 298 24. Hollmann F, Arends I. Enzyme initiated radical polymerizations. *Polymers.* 2012; 4:759-793. DOI:
- 299 10.3390/polym4010759.
- 300 25. Maddhinni VL, Vurimindi HB, Yerramilli A. Degradation of azo dye with horseradish
- 301 peroxidase (HRP). *J Indian Inst Sci.* 2006; 86:507-14.
- 302 26. Ambatkar M, Mukundan U. Enzymatic decolourisation of Methyl Orange and Bismarck Brown
- 303 using crude peroxidase from *Armoracia rusticana*. *Appl. Water Sci.* 2015;5 (4):397-406.
- 304 27. Elleuch A, Chaâbene Z, Grubb DC, Drira N, Mejdoub H, Khemakhem B. Morphological and
- 305 biochemical behavior of fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum*) under copper stress. *Ecotoxicol*
- 306 *Environ Saf.* 2013; 98:46-53.
- 307 28. Chaurasia PK, Singh SK, Bharati SL. Study of peroxidase obtained from *Daucus carota* (carrot)
- 308 juice extract *J. Applicable Chem* 2013; 2:1123-1131.
- 309 29. Adam W, Lazarus M, Saha-Moller C, Weichold O, Hoch U, Haring D Schreier P.
- 310 Biotransformation with peroxidase. *Adv Biochem Eng/Biot.* 1999;63:73-107.
- 311 30. Kandelbauer A, Maute O, Kessler RW, Erlacher A, Gübitz GM. Study of dye decolorization in an
- 312 immobilized laccase enzyme-reactor using online spectroscopy. *Biotechnol Bioeng.*
- 313 2004;87(4):552-563. DOI:10.1002/bit.20162.
- 314 31. Ayyagari M, Akkara JA, Kaplan DL. Characterization of phenolic polymers synthesized by
- 315 enzyme-mediated reactions in bulk solvents and at oil-water interfaces. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C.*
- 316 1996;C4:169-73.