SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJBCRR_36536**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Comparative Evaluation of Nutritional Qualities of Nymphaea lotus and Nymphaea pubescens Seeds**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment***(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Suggestions:** All keywords could be different from the title; Which equipment was used (Brand, Model, Country): to dry (line 40), to weigh (L. 46 and 72), in the oven (L. 47 and 76); Which methods were used in ALL determinations? Item 2.3 should be 2.4. Table 1 and respective text – there are the same significance? How is this possible with these values?	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/Generalcomments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Patrícia Matos Scheuer***
Department, University & Country	***Food Science, Federal Institute of Santa Catarina, Brazil***