SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJBCRR_36536**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Comparative Evaluation of Nutritional Qualities of Nymphaea lotus and Nymphaea pubescens Seeds**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1. The paper is well written but should be shortened especially material and methods section.** **2. Add the trade names of equipments and chemicals used.** **3. Use abbreviations at first, then use throughout the text.** **4. Correct the reference style in the text based on the guide.** **5. Before publication, English grammar should be checked.**	
Minor REVISION comments	L6: This study was aimed to evaluate In abstract: estimate or determine, grounded not ground, Standard L105: min not mins L101-130: Shorten this part. L134: Add the explaination. L137: profiles….. were determined as previously described L143: were determined as previously described	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Celenk Molva***
Department, University & Country	***Department of Food Engineering, Izmir Institute of Technology, Turkey***