



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_35254
Title of the Manuscript:	Thyroid status, renal profile and electrolytes in postmenopausal women
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	We read that asymptomatic pre and post menopausal women had blood chemistry measured. Were they attending hospital for any kind of disease or screening procedures? Or they were selected among the department staffs. Confounding factors were excluded on the basis of history or instant laboratory diagnosis of those diseases? It is essential to include detail Performa and consent regarding study population on the manuscript. Accounting all above information we suggest rewriting methodology (study design) with clear picture in full sentences. Page 6, last paragraph- third sentence states "Subclinical hypothyroid status in postmenopausal women could be the reason for observed elevated eGFR" which contrast the findings.	
Minor REVISION comments	It will be useful (interesting) to have a table with more complete description of study population included in the study, like social status, dietary intervention and previous risk factors etc. The authors should include the paragraph "Limitations" about the limited value of sample size. Taking account on such small sample size, this will be unfair to generalize the metabolic changes in postmenopausal women. Such a wide range of age group would have required relatively larger sample size. There are 10-12 grammatical errors and many typo errors recorded through the paper. English expert can get well-versed manuscript?	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Based on the knowledge that hormonal changes and their influences in thyroid status and electrolyte balance after menopause, researcher compare these changes in pre and post menopausal women. We found this manuscript interesting. This paper need to revision.	
---	--	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Bashu Dev Pardhe</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Laboratory Medicine, Manmohan Memorial Institute of Health Sciences, Nepal</i>