



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_34077
Title of the Manuscript:	STANDARDIZATION OF PROTOCOL FOR BEST BLENDING RATIO OF PAPAYA cv. RED LADY AND GUAVA cv. ALLAHABAD SAFEDA FRUIT PULP FOR PREPARATION OF FRUIT BAR
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	LINE 27, 29, 31, 38, 42, 45, 48, 58...: Use Vancouver reference style for the in-text citations of the entire manuscript using consecutive numbers in squared brackets as stipulated in the author's guidelines of the SDI journals. Available at: www.sciencedomain.org/page/general-guideline-for-authors Avoid using the author's names for citations unless if it is necessary (e.g. in the discussion section, author's name can be used). LINE 63 to 65: Recast the aims of the study on the last paragraph of the introduction section. Example, "The present study aimed to standardize the protocol for best blending ratio of papaya cv. Red Lady and guava cv. Allahabad Safeda fruit pulp for the preparation of fruit bar, with view to determine the physicochemical potentials and microbial loads of the fruit blends" LINE 67: Correct the sentence "The present study was carried out..." LINES 68 to 77: Recast and summarize the statement of plants' identification. Example, "The plant materials were taxonomically authenticated at College of Horticulture, Anantharajupeta using botanical keys based on their size, shape, aroma and succulence nature" LINE 86: Cite the reference source from which the methods for the preparation of fruit's pulps was adopted The discussion section is too lengthy. Recast the discussion of the study by comparing the findings with that of the similar previous	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	researches. Define the notation “CD” in the table footnotes (keys) of tables 2, 3, 4 and 5.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 49: Use “...nowadays...” Line 51: Cite the reference source of the statement quoted. Line 86: Give the full meaning of the acronym “KMS” or list it in the abbreviation section. Line 95: Remove the bracket Line 99: Expunge the word “below” Line 105: Correct the spelling “physicochemical”. Also, write the acronym TSS as total soluble solids in its first appearance in the paragraph, or define it in the abbreviation list. Line 122: Define the acronym “AS” Line 133: i.e. Line 440: The reference No. 15 is incomplete	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Result tables should be inserted before the discussion of results NOT after the reference section. Rewrite the entire discussion section and compare your findings with that of previous researchers	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Mustapha Umar</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Science Laboratory Technology, Nigerian Institute of Leather and Science Technology, Nigeria</i>