

EFFECT OF DRYING METHODS AND STORAGE CONDITIONS ON NUTRITIONAL VALUE AND SENSORY PROPERTIES OF DEHYDRATED TOMATO POWDER (*Lycopersicon esculentum*)

ABSTRACTS

The effects of two drying methods, i.e., oven drying and sun drying of tomatoes and storage conditions of the products were studied to assess their effects on chemical nutritional quality and sensory properties. Fresh tomatoes were obtained from Ankpa metropolis central market, Kogi state Nigeria. The fruits were washed, weighed sliced into a non sticky pot dehydrated pressed through colander into and re-dehydrated into a thick moulding consistency, sliced and oven dried at 60^o C at a constant stirring using skewer. The Nutrient composition was determined using standard methods, microbiological examinations using culture plate methods and sensory evaluation was evaluated using 9 points hedonic scales. Moisture contents in oven dried and sun dried tomato powders fluctuated between 8 – 10 % and was slightly higher in the sun dried tomato product. The chemical analysis of four macronutrients; protein, fat, crude fiber and carbohydrate was completed and the results were expressed as percentage dry matter. Significantly higher protein (3.70), fat (0.82) and crude fiber (6.37) were found in the oven dried tomato product (P<0.05) compared with the respective values obtained in the sun dried tomato product. While the carbohydrate content was 77 % in both products. The chemical analysis of the five phytochemicals total flavonoids, tannins, Phenolic acid, and alkaloid were reported and their mean levels were significantly higher in the oven dried tomato product compared with the respective values in the sun dried tomato product. The microbiological examination showed that under storage conditions for 3 months the total viable counts were (5.7x10³ cfu) and (7.7x10⁵ cfu per gram oven dried and sun dried tomato, respectively). Under storage conditions for 6 months, total viable counts increased slightly in the oven dried tomato product. Fungal growth was not visible in both tomato products after 3 months of storage. Yet, under storage conditions for 6 months, mean values were 1.9x10³ and 2.1x10⁵ colony forming units per g of oven dried and sun dried tomato, respectively. Sensory evaluation included four parameters, i.e., revealed taste, flavour, consistency, colour beside overall acceptability which were significantly superior (P<0.05) in the oven dried tomato product over the sun dried one.

Keywords: Tomato fruits, Dehydration methods, Macronutrients, Microbiological safety
Phytochemicals, Sensory evaluation

1. INTRODUCTION

The use of modern technologies and equipments for drying food material is escalating because it prolongs shelf life or good keeping quality and retains the bioactive nutrients. These bioactive nutrients can combat nutritional related diseases and promote a state of well-being of the consumer. Tomatoes (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) contribute to a healthy and well-balanced diet as they are rich in dietary fibres and other nutrients. Tomatoes are perishable crops of which deterioration starts immediately after harvest and continued till they experience spoilage due to high moisture contents and enzymatic activities (). In Nigeria, tomato

has short shelf life and considerable amounts of harvested tomatoes (over 50%) are lost yearly due to poor storage system, poor transportation coupled with inadequate processing facilities and lack of processing enterprises (1) (). According to (2) marketing of fresh tomato during the season is a great problem which needs to be handled. The percentage of tomatoes going into waste annually with no attentions paid to the loss of nutrients can lead to heavy revenue loss to farmers, decrease in market value, underutilization and to malnutrition due to food insecurity. Tomato as fruits vegetables and other green leafy vegetables can be dried using various methods (According to (3) 4), 5). Dehydration does not check losses only but, generate revenue for the farmers and Nigeria as a country at large and also combat nutritional related disease. The objective of the present study is to dry tomatoes and to compare the efficiency of two drying methods (oven versus sun drying) on the retention of nutrient contents, storage stability with regard to microbiological counts and sensory attributes

2. Material and methods

2.1: Sample collection

Freshly and ripened harvested derika tomato fruits were purchased from Ankpa metropolis market, Kogi state Nigeria.

2.2: Sample preparation

The fruits were sorted, washed and eleven kilogram were weighed and divided into two equal portion of 5.5 kg each. The first portion was sliced, deseeded and poured into a non-sticky stainless pot and heated for 1½ hours under direct flame for dehydration. The cooked tomato was **mashed** into a slurry and was pressed through a 55 mm colander. Heating continued until a mouldable texture was reached. The condensate was poured into 1 g sizes on trays and placed in a 200 °C pre-heated **dry air** electric oven and the temperature was lowered to 60° C and dried to constant weight while stirring at every 30 minutes for two hours. It was cooled under laboratory condition, pounded and milled **using type 8k 31 Kenwood blender**, **packed** and labelled as **"oven dried"**.

The second portion was blanched **by dipping in pot containing boiling water for 5 minutes**???? After raising and alklowing to cool, the **tomato fruits were** sliced into ½ cm (5mm) thick using fruit slicer for uniform sizes. They sliced tomatoes were put in aluminium trays 120 x 60 cm and were dried under direct sun light for 7 days with daily shaking. The dry tomato was finely ground using harmer mill grinding engine, **packed** and labelled **"sun dried"**. Both tomato products (oven dried and sun dried) were kept for 3 and 6 months for **laboratory investigation**.

2.3: Sensory **evaluation** of dehydrated tomato powder

Both tomato powder products were reconstituted into tomato sauce by suspending in 35 and 17 mL of water. The sauce was tested using a panel test of 6 adult volunteers. Each volunteer recorded his response to one of 9 points hedonic scale with 9=like extremely 1=dislike extremely. Twelve 12 panellist were trained on sensory attribute, the scores were based on the intensity of the taste, colour, flavour, texture and overall acceptability.

2.4: **Storage conditions**

The two tomato ground products were packed and sealed with model N_o me-200H 220 v AC 50/60 Hz 260 w impulse sealer in black opaque polyethylene bags to prevent radiant energy and light rays that can affect the storage negatively and stored in an ambient temperature for 3 and 6 months. Samples were withdrawn at the 3rd month and 1 g each

was weighed out and the polyethylene bags were resealed immediately. The weighed sample was examined microbiologically.

2.5: Microbiological examinations all tomato products (oven - and sundried) stored for 3 and 6 months. One gram of the tomato powder was homogenized in 17 mL of sterile peptone water to prepare the stock solution. One millilitre of serial dilutions (10^2 and 10^3) was inoculated on nutrient agar plates and cultured at 37°C for 24 hours. The bacteria were identified on the basis of their cultural, morphological properties and biochemical tests. The number of colony forming units (cfu) on each plate. was counted using colony counter and expressed as cfu/g.

Total fungal counts

The total fungal count were completed by growth in petri dish based on potato dextrose agar (PDA supplemented with 10 % tartaric acid to inhibits bacterial growth. It was incubated at room temperature ($28\pm 2^\circ\text{C}$) for 4 days. The colony forming units were counted and expressed as cfu/g. The different colony on each plate were isolated purified and stored on potato dextrose agar (PDA) for fungi for further characterization and identification. while fungal isolates were characterized by their cultural and morphological properties based on mycelium structure, and conidiophores presence and shapes.

Chemical analysis procedures *Triplicate values were expressed on dry weight basis.* **2.6: Proximate composition**

The chemical analysis of moisture, protein, fats and ash were determined scording to AOAC methods. Moisture content was determined using vacuum oven method (6). Crude protein was determined using the micro-Kjeldahl method (10). Fat content was estimated using Soxhlet extraction method (9) . Ash by incineration in muffle furnace at 550°C for 8 hours (8). The available carbohydrate content was determined by subtracting 100 minus the sum of (moisture, protein, fat and ash contents) (8).

Crude Fibre Determination

Crude fibre content was determined by a non - non-enzymatic method (11). Two grams of the dried sample was defatted with petroleum ether and boiled under reflux for 30 minutes with 200 mL of solution containing 1.5 g of H_2SO_4 /100 mL of the solution. The solution was filtered through linen on a fluted funnel and washed with boiling water until the washing was no longer acidic. The residue was transferred to a beaker and boiled for 30 minutes in 200 mL of solution containing 1.25 g of carbonate-free NaOH per 100 mL. Final residue was filtered through a thin but closed pad of washed and ignited asbestos in porcelain crucible. It was dried in electric oven, weighed, incinerated, cooled and reweighed;

The loss in the weight after incineration x 100 was calculated as the percentage (%) of the crude fibres.

$$\% \text{ crude fibre} = \frac{\text{Loss in weight (g)} \times 100}{\text{Original mass (2.0)}}$$

Chemical analysis of flavonoid

One gram was extracted repeatedly with 100 ml of 80 % methanol at room temperature (12). The filtrate was transferred to a beaker and allowed to evaporate to dryness and the weight was weighed. The % flavonoid was calculated using the formula below;

$$X = \frac{w_2 - w_1}{w_3} \times 100$$

Where x = percentage flavonoid,

w_1 = weight of empty beaker, w_2 = weight of empty beaker + methanol extract; w_3 = weight of sample.

Alkaloid Determination

The alkaline precipitation gravimetric method was used for the determination of alkaloids (12). Two grams were soaked in 20 ml 10 % ethanolic acetic acid . and left 4 hours at room temperature followed by filtration. The filtrate was concentrated by evaporating it over a steam bath to $\frac{1}{4}$ of its original volume. Concentrated ammonia solution was added drop wise. The resulting alkaloid precipitate was recovered by filtration using a previously weighed filter paper and the precipitate was washed with 1 % ammonia solution and dried in the oven at 60°C for 30 min, cooled in desiccators and reweighed. The weight of alkaloids was determined by difference and expressed as a percentage of the weight given by following formula;

$$\% \text{ alkaloid} = \frac{W_1 - W_2}{W_1} \times 100$$

Where; w^1 = Weight of filter paper

w^2 = weight of paper + alkaloid precipitate.

Determination of Phenolic Acid

A sample of 2.5 g was boiled with 25 ml of ether for 15 min. five millilitre of the extract was pipette into a 50 ml volumetric flask and 10 ml of distilled water, 2 ml ammonium hydroxide and 5 ml amyl alcohol was added sequentially. The solution was made up to the mark with distilled water and allowed to stand for 30 min for colour development. The absorbance of the solution was read at 505 nm using a UV spectrophotometer and tannic acid was used as the standard (13)

Saponin Contents Determination

A total of 50 mL of the sample was weighed into a conical flask and 100 cm³ of 20 % aqueous ethanol was added to the sample. The sample was heated over a hot water bath for 4 hours with continuous stirring at about 55° C. The mixture was filtered and the residue re-extracted with another 200 mL of 20% ethanol. The combined extracts were reduced to 40 mL over water bath at 90° C. The concentrated filtrate was transferred into a 250 mL separating funnel and 20 mL of diethyl ether was added and shaken vigorously. The aqueous layer was recovered while the ether layer was discarded. The purification process was repeated. Sixty millilitre of n-butanol was added. The combined n-butanol extract was washed twice with 10 mL of 5 % aqueous sodium chloride. The resultant solution was heated in a water bath. After evaporation, samples were dried in the oven to a constant weight and the weight of Saponin was determined. (13)

Tannic Acid Determination

One gram was mixed with 40 ml of 50% methanol. The mixture was shaken vigorously and placed in a hot water bath at 80°C for 1 h. The extract was filtered into 100 ml volumetric flask, 20 ml distilled water, 2.5 ml Folin-Denis reagent and 10 ml of 17% sodium carbonate was added and shaken vigorously. The mixture was then made up to the mark with distilled water and allowed to stand for 20 min for colour development. The absorbance was read at 760 nm on a U- Vis spectrophotometer (14). Standard tannic acid was prepared with concentration 0- 10 ppm for plotting the standard curve. The relation of $R^2 = 0.9826$ was obtained. The concentration of tannin in the unknown sample was obtained from the regression equation $Y = 0.0593x - 0.0485$, Where; x = absorbance 760 nm

Y =tannic acid equivalent

2.9: Statistical Analysis

All data obtained were expressed as the arithmetic mean $\pm$ standard deviation, differences between means were considered significant at $P \leq 0.05$. One way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Bonferroni [15] multiple comparison tests were used for the comparison of means using SPSS version 17.0 package.

3.0: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1: RESULTS

The summative analysis of oven dried (O D) and sun dried (S D) tomato powders show that the moisture content in (S D) tomato powder (10.63 ± 0.21) is significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) than the respective mean moisture content in the (O D) tomato product (8.58 ± 0.07). On the other hand, the (O D) tomato powder was significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) in the mean contents of protein (3.70 ± 0.07), fat (0.82 ± 0.02) and crude fiber (6.37 ± 0.15) compared with the respective contents found in the (SD) tomato product.

Table 1: PROXIMATE COMPOSITION OF OVEN DRIED AND SUN DRIED tomatoes(%)

Proximate analysis	Tomato powder oven dried(%)	Tomato powder sun dried %
Moisture	8.58 ± 0.07^a	10.63 ± 0.21^b
Crude protein	3.70 ± 0.07^b	2.60 ± 0.07^a
Fat	0.82 ± 0.02^b	0.53 ± 0.02^a
Ash	3.53 ± 0.0^a	3.88 ± 0.08^a
Carbohydrates	77.00 ± 0.30^a	77.21 ± 0.43^a
Crude fibre	6.37 ± 0.15^b	5.15 ± 0.10^a

Values represent means of triplicate values $\pm$ sd . Means with different superscripts in a column are significantly different $p \geq 0.05$

Table (2) presents the contents of 5 phytochemicals in (O D) and (S D) tomato powders.

The results show that the (O D) tomato powder was significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) in the mean contents of flavonoid (34.85 ± 0.13), Phenolic acid (178.3 ± 0.21), tannin (15.50 ± 0.4), alkaloid (1.93 ± 0.16) and saponin (0.19 ± 0.01) compared with the respective contents found in the (SD) tomato product.

The results of the microbiological examination of the tomato powders stored for 3 months at room temperature (Table 3) show that the total viable count was more than 100 fold excess in the (S D) tomato powder (7.7×10^5 cfu / g) compared with the respective mean value found in the (O D) tomato powder (5.7×10^3 cfu / g). After 6 months of storage, the mean viable bacteria counts increased by 10.5 % in the (O D) tomato powder (6.3×10^3 cfu / g); while the mean counts was reduced by 5.2 % in the (S D) tomato powder (7.3×10^5 cfu / g). With regard to fungal and yeast growth, colonies appeared only in tomato products stored for six months with equal infection rates of 1.95×10^5 cfu / g and 2.06×10^5 cfu / g in (O D) and (S D) tomato powders. This result that the tomato products had spoiled at this stage.

Table 2: Phytochemical analysis of dehydrated tomato powder (mg/100g)

Phytochemical compound	Tomato powder oven dried (mg)	Tomato powder sun dried (mg)
Flavonoid	34.85± 0.13 ^b	25.63±0.17 ^a
Phenolic acid	178.3±0 2.10 ^b	93.93 1.77 ^a
Tannin	15.50 ± 0.4 ^b	9.11 0.03 ^a
Alkaloid	1.93± 0.16 ^b	0.87 0.06 ^a
Saponin	0.19± 0.01 ^b	0.13 0.01 ^a

Values represent means of triplicate values ± sd (standard deviation)

Means with different superscripts in a Column are significantly different $p \geq 0.05$

Table 3 : Microbiological examination of tomato powders under storage for 3 and 6 months

Tomato powder	Duration of storage (3 months)		Duration of storage (6 months)	
	Total bacteria (cfu /g)	Mould & yeast (cfu /g)	Total bacteria (cfu /g)	Mould & yeast (cfu/g)
Oven dried	5.7 x 10 ^{3a}	* * *	6.3x10 ^{3a}	1.95 x 10 ^{5a}
Sun dried	7.7 x 10 ^{5b}	* * *	7.3 x 10 ^{5a}	2.06x 10 ^{5a}

Values represent means of triplicate values ± sd (standard deviation)

Means in vertical column with different superscripts are significantly different at $P \leq 0.05$

Table 4: Sensory properties of oven dried and sundried dehydrated tomato powder

Parameters	Taste	Flavour	Consistency	Colour	Overall Acceptability
Oven dried	7.04±0.20 ^a	8.2±0.20 ^a	7.00±0.04 ^a	8.00± 0.20 ^a	8.01±0.20 ^a
Sun dried	6.16±0.22 ^b	5.03±0.15 ^b	5.15±0.26 ^b	7.31±0.14 ^b	7.0±0.14 ^b

Values represent means of triplicate values ± sd (standard deviation)

Means in vertical column with different superscripts are significantly different at $P \leq 0.05$

The results of the sensory evaluation (Table (4) demonstrate that the (O D) tomato product is significantly superior ($P < 0.05$) over the (S D) tomato product in all five attributes.

DISCUSSION

The low moisture content observed in the oven dried tomato powder may be the rationale behind the high nutrients conservation in the sample. this current study therefore, agrees with the study of Amadi and Adebola (2008) [16]

Similar conclusion could be drawn with the effect of (OD) on the retention of the 5 studied phytochemicals of tomato powder. The higher phytochemical content in oven dried sample can neutralize the free radicals and inhibit the oxidative activity and may combat non-communicable disorder.

The higher moisture content ($10.63 \pm 0.21\%$) in sundried tomato product contributed to increase in the growth of bacteria colony forming units / g. The findings in this study conforms that of Hettiarachchi et al 2001[17] , who reported that products with 10 % moisture didn't contain no microbial growth, while fungal growth of 3 cfu/10 g was observed in products with 13.5% .

The authors should recheck the count of fungal growth and compare it with the fungal growth reported by Hettiarachchi et al 2001. Enormous differences !!!!

All the parameters tested in terms of (taste, flavour, consistency, colour and overall acceptability) showed that oven dried was most preferred and were significantly different at $p \leq 0.05$ compared with the respective results obtained with the S D tomato product.

Conclusion;

The study has revealed that oven dried significantly increased the nutrient component of dehydrated tomato powder, decreased cfu/g of bacteria, enhanced the keeping quality and could have extended the shelf life if the product could have been stored further for one year !!! The authors should delete this sentence immediately!!! The presence of fungal growth is fatal!!!. The results obtained showed that the (O D) method for the production of tomato powder is promising and warrants further studies to be scaled up for mass production .

REFERENCES

1. Ugonna C. U, M. A. Jolaoso and A. P. Onwualu (2015), Tomato Value Chain in Nigeria: Issues, Challenges and Strategies, School of Built Environment, Liverpool John Moors University, Liverpool, UK. Agricultural and Agro-Allied Department, Raw Materials Research and Development Council, Abuja, Nigeria. Research and Innovation Department, National Universities Commission, Abuja, Nigeria.
2. Jayathunge, R.A.N.S. Kapilarathne, B.M.K.S. Thilakarathne, M.D.Fernando, K.B. Palipane and P.H.P. Prasanna2 [2012], Development of a methodology for production of dehydrated tomato powder and study the acceptability of the product. Journal of Agricultural Technology Vol. 8(2): 765-773.
3. Ghavidel Reihaneh Ahmadzadeh and Mehdi Ghiafeh Davoodi, (2010) Studies on Physiochemical Properties of Tomato Powder as Affected by Different Dehydration Methods and Pretreatments World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology International Journal of Biological, Biomolecular, Agricultural, Food and Biotechnological Engineering Vol: 4, No: 9.
4. Naika Shankara, Joep van Lidt de Jeude, Marja de Goffau, Martin Hilmi, and Barbara van Dam. (2005). Cultivation of tomato: production, processing and marketing. Agrodok 17. Agromisa Foundation and CTA, Wageningen; 2005.
5. Kiiyukia Ciira [2003] Laboratory manual of food microbiology for Ethiopian health and nutrition research institute [microbiology laboratory] UNIDO project [TA/EH/03/436] INIDO/food analysis microbiology.
6. Iwe M. O, [2002] hand book of sensory methods and analysis ROJOINT communication services Ltd 65 Adelabu St Uwani, Enugu. LTD, 65 Adelatu st Uwani Enugu, Nigeria.
7. Adegoke G. O. (2004), understanding food microbiology, Alleluia Venue Ltd Ibadan, Nigeria.
8. AOAC –Association of Official Analytical Chemists, (2005) Official methods of analysis of the AOAC International. (18th ed.). Gaithersburg (USA): AOAC International.
9. Akinmutimi AH. Chemical analysis of nutritive value of raw and processed jackfruit seeds (*Artocaptus heterophyllus*), Agricultural Journal. 2006;1:266-271.
10. Association of Official Analytical Chemist (AOAC). Non-enzymatic methods of crude protein contents determination official methods of analysis international. 16th Edition Method 4.6.01(962.09), International, Maryland, USA; 1995.
11. Onwuka G.L. (2005), Food analysis and instrumentation theory and practice Napthali Prints, Lagos, Nigeria. 2005;124-125
12. Krishnaiah D, Devi T, Bono A, Sarbatly R (2009). Studies on phytochemical constituents of six Malaysian medicinal plants. J. Med. Plants Res. 3(2):67-72.
13. Obadoni BO, Ochuko PO. (2001) Phytochemical studies and comparative efficacy of the crude extracts of some homeostatic plants in Edo and Delta States of Nigeria. Global Journal Pure Appl. Science. 2001;8:203-208.
14. Edeoga HO, Okwe DE, Mbabie BO (2005). Phytochemical constituents of some Nigerian Medicinal plant. Agric J. Biotechnol. 4(7):685-688.
15. Bonferroni H Abdi, Šidák Z. [2007] Corelation for multiple comparisons. In N.J. Salkind (ed.). Encyclopedia of Measurement and Statistics. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Available: <http://www.utdallas.edu/herve/Ab-di-Bonferroni-pretty>
16. Amadi J.E and M. O. Adebola (2008) Effect of moisture content and storage conditions on the storability of garri, Department of Plant Biology, University of Ilorin, P. M. B.

- 1515, Ilorin, Nigeria. African Journal of Biotechnology Vol. 7 (24), pp. 4591-4594,
17. Hettiarachchi Jaanaki Gooneratne and W.K. Hirimburegama (2001), effect of initial
moisture contents and relative humidity on accumulation of aflatoxin in maize grain,
department of botany, university of Colombo Srilanka.29 (1 and 2) : (34).
18. Toor R.K., Savage G.P., (2006) - Effect of semi-drying on the antioxidant components of
Tomatoes, Food Chemistry, 94, p. 90-97.