



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_32838
Title of the Manuscript:	Chemical composition and estimation of metabolizable energy values of sun-dried, fermented and rumen digesta-ensiled cassava root meal in poultry
Type of the Article	research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Ethical issues The article has scientific relevance, but the RDECRM treatment worries me for two serious reasons: 1° It is necessary to carry out microbiological analyzes of this material that came into contact with ruminal content. Would not it be more interesting to ferment cassava root meal with a known and controlled bacterial community? 2° What is the technical applicability of this treatment? My biggest question is about the sanity and applicability of Rumen digesta-ensiled cassava root meal treatment? The work has applicability but no data have been presented to clarify the microbiological quality of this treatment. I also consider the introduction of the paper a bit "lost", especially the second paragraph.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	What is the lineage of chicken used? Why choose a chicken with 10 weeks, the ideal and with 2 to 4 weeks? For a digestibility experiment 4 animals per treatment is a very low number because they have not used any more animals?	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article has scientific relevance, but the RDECRM treatment worries me for two serious reasons: 1º It is necessary to carry out microbiological analyzes of this material that came into contact with ruminal content. Would not it be more interesting to ferment cassava root meal with a known and controlled bacterial community? 2º What is the technical applicability of this treatment?	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Priscila de Oliveira Moraes
Department, University & Country	Animal Science, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil