

Original Research Article

A comparative study on the haematology, biochemical alterations in serum composition and pathologic changes in the kidneys of cachectic and non-cachectic cattle in Zaria, Nigeria

Abstract

Aim: The aim of this study was to compare the haematological, biochemical and kidney pathological changes in cachectic and non cachectic Zebu cattle in Nigeria.

Study design: A total of 79 cattle were sampled during the study. The number of cachectic and non-cachectic animals varied depending on the parameters analyzed. It was strictly an abattoir-based study.

Place and duration of the experiment: Samples were collected at the Zaria abattoir in Nigeria for a period of 6 months and analyzed in the Department of Veterinary Pathology, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria.

Methodology: Body weight and generalized body condition of the animals were determined. Also, the effect of age and sex on cachexiation was investigated. Whole blood was collected via jugular venipuncture for determination of packed cell volume (PCV), haemoglobin, and total and differential leukocyte counts. Serum was processed from the whole blood to determine electrolyte profiles, alanine and aspartate amino transferases (ALT & AST). Urine composition and pathologic changes in the kidneys of cachectic and non cachectic animals were also determined.

Results: The non-cachectic cattle had higher PCV values compared to the cachectic animals, although the difference was not statistically significant ($P>0.05$). The mean PCVs of the 2 groups were within normal range. The non-cachectic cattle had slightly lower values of neutrophils compared to the cachectic group, although the difference was not statistically significant ($P>0.05$). The cachectic group showed slightly higher ($P>0.05$) concentrations of creatinine and higher concentrations of urea ($P>0.05$) than the non-cachectic group and urinalysis revealed no aciduria, ketonuria or leucocyturia in both cachectic and non cachectic cattle.

Conclusion: This study is the first to report exhaustively the haematologic, biochemical and pathologic changes in the kidneys of cachectic Zebu cattle presented to the abattoir for slaughter. The study did not investigate the role of season on cachexiation and it is concluded that future studies should focus on the role of seasonal variation on cachexiation.

Key words: cachetic cattle; non-cachetic cattle; haematology; seum biochemical composition; pathological changes in kidney

1. INTRODUCTION

Cachexiation in domestic animals is characterized by chronic excessive wasting of muscle mass (sarcopaenia) and lose of body weight. Loss of muscle mass is usually caused by primary muscle degenerative changes such as the muscular dystrophies, or may be a secondary consequence of cachetic disease processes affecting other tissues, such as renal failure, cancer, burns, sepsis and congestive heart failure [1]. Other common chronic diseases associated with cachexiation include tuberculosis, trypanosomiasis, contagious bovine pleuropneumonia (CBPP), malnutrition and helminthosis [2]. Very little is known about the role of cachexiation in kidney dysfunction in indigenous Nigerian breeds of cattle. In this study, we report for the first time, the haematology, biochemical alterations in the serum composition and pathologic changes in the kidneys of cachetic and non-cachetic cattle presented for slaughter at the abattoir in Zaria, Nigeria.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area

The study was conducted at the Zaria abattoir in Nigeria. Zaria town is located on Latitude 07 38⁰E and Longitude 11 10⁰N. Cattle slaughtered at this abattoir were representative of the various herds in Zaria and neighbouring environs [3].

2.1 Sampling

A total of 79 cattle were sampled during the study. The number of cachetic and non-cachetic animals varied depending on the parameters analyzed.

2.2 Assessment of Body Weight, Age and General Body Condition

Weights of the animals and their ages were determined using conventional procedures [4]. Body condition scores were evaluated as described previously [2,5].

2.3 Determination of Haematological Parameters

Packed cell volume (PCV), total and differential leucocyte counts were determined as described elsewhere [6].

2.4 Determination of biochemical changes

Serum was prepared from whole blood and stored at -20 °C until analyzed [7]. concentrations of creatinine, urea, total protein, albumin, bilirubin, glucose and electrolytes such as sodium (Na^+), potassium (K^+), chloride (Cl^-), calcium (Ca^{2+}), phosphorous (P), bicarbonate (HCO_3^-), aspartate amino transferase (AST), alanine amino transferase (ALT) and alkaline phosphatase (ALP) in the serum were measured using commercial test kits and digital spectrophotometer [8].

Urine sample collection and analysis. Urine specimens (20 mL) were collected aseptically from the urinary bladder immediately after slaughter into sterile sample bottles. The fresh samples were analyzed both chemically (using reagent stripes) and grossly for the presence of abnormal findings [9].

2.5 Determination of Effect of Age and Sex on Cachexiation

The relationship between age, sex and cachexiation was investigated. A total of 66 female and 13 male animals were investigated in the study. None of the animals examined was less than 3 years old.

2.6 Postmortem Examination for Gross and Histopathologic Changes

Kidneys were sectioned longitudinally to ascertain the presence of kidney stones and any other gross Lesions. Histopathologic lessons were investigated using tissue specimens collected, fixed in 10% normal buffered formalin and stained with H and E [10,11].

2.7 Statistical Analysis

Data from the study was computed as mean $\pm$ SD, analyzed using Student's t- test [12] and values of $P < 0.05$ were significant.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Haematologic Findings

Haematologic parameters of the cachectic and non-cachectic cattle investigated (mean $\pm$ SD) are presented in Table 1. The non-cachectic cattle had higher PCV values ($P > 0.05$) compared to the cachectic group, although the difference was not statistically significant ($P > 0.05$). Mean PCV values of the two groups were within the normal range. Haemoglobin concentrations of the former were higher than the later, although the differences were not significant ($P > 0.05$). Mean total leucocyte counts for the two groups were similar and within normal range. The non-cachectic cattle had slightly lower values of neutrophils compared to the cachectic group, although the difference was not statistically significant ($P > 0.05$). The mean neutrophil values of the two groups were within the reference interval. Lymphocyte values were slightly higher for the non-cachectic, compared to the cachectic group ($P < 0.05$), although both values were within normal range. Eosinophil and basophil counts were slightly higher in the cachectic compared to the non-cachectic group, although the difference was not significant ($P > 0.05$). Both values were within the normal reference interval (Table 1).

3.2 Serum Biochemical Changes

Changes in serum biochemistry, electrolyte profiles, metabolite concentrations, liver enzyme activities, urine composition and other biochemical parameters are presented in Tables 2-7. The activity of AST was higher in the cachectic group compared to the non-cachectic, although the difference was not statistically significant ($P > 0.05$) (Table 4). Moreover, both the means and the

ranges were lower than the reference interval (Table 4). The same finding was observed for ALT except that the difference was statistically significant ($P < 0.05$). The cachectic group exhibited higher concentrations of glucose than the non-cachectic group. Although, there was no statistically significant difference ($P > 0.05$) between the groups (Table 7), values much higher than the reference intervals (2.3-4.1) were recorded as 9.5 mMol/L and 6.6 mMol/L respectively for cachectic and non-cachectic cattle respectively (Table 7). Cachectic animals also had higher serum total protein concentrations than the non-cachectic group ($P < 0.05$) (Table 2). The cachectic group recorded higher concentrations of albumin than the non-cachectic group, although there was no significant difference ($P > 0.05$) between the two groups (Table 2). The lowest value of albumin obtained in both cachectic and non-cachectic groups (14 g/L and 20 g/L) respectively were lower than the reference intervals (27-39 g/L), while the highest values were 70 g/L and 48 g/L respectively (Table 2). The cachectic group showed slightly higher ($P > 0.05$) concentrations of creatinine and higher concentrations of urea ($P > 0.05$) than the non-cachectic group (Table 2). Urinalysis revealed no aciduria, ketonuria or leucocyturia in both cachectic and non cachectic cattle (Table 7).

3.3 Effect of Sex and Age on Cachexiation

There was a statistically significant association between sex and cachexiation ($P < 0.0001$). Female animals were more cachectic than the males. Seventy five (95%) of the total animals examined were cachectic and 62 (83%) of them were females, while 13 (17%) were males (Table 8). There was no statistically significant association ($P > 0.05$) between age and cachexiation (Table 9).

3.4 Gross and Histopathologic Changes

Physical examination showed the cachetic cattle had prominent ribs, back and shoulders as well as sharp and pointed spines. Postmortem examination revealed smooth spherical greyish-brown coloured uroliths (stones) in the kidneys of 11 (15%) of the cachetic cattle (Plates 2a and b). The uroliths weighed between 200-700 mg, with a diameter of 5-10 cm (Plates 2a and b). Chemical examination of the uroliths indicated that they contained substances such as ammonium (+), carbonate (++) , uric acid (+ and + +), phosphorus (++) and magnesium (+ and ++) (Table 10). Microscopically, there was intra glomerular cellular infiltration (predominantly lymphocytes and macrophages) for both cachetic and non-cachetic cattle. The cachetic cattle also showed obliterated Bowman's space and moderate congestion (Plates IIIa and b, IV and V). Nephritis was also observed in the cachetic cattle (Plate IV).

4. DISCUSSION

Haematuria has been associated with cachexiation in a previous report [9]. The reduced packed cell volume and haemoglobin concentrations observed in the cachetic, compared to the non-cachetic cattle in the present study may be as a result of increased loss of intact or haemolyzed red blood cells in the urine of cachetic cattle in agreement with Adamu *et al.* [9].

Bilirubin concentration was higher ($P>0.05$) in the urine of cachetic compared to the non-cachetic animals. This may be due to impaired kidney function as some diseases are associated with haemolysis, leading to increased bilirubin production and its subsequent conjugation, part of which is then excreted by the kidney. The observation of glomerulonephritis and reduced mean PCV and Hb concentration in the cachetic group gives more support to our suspicion that kidney diseases accompanied by haemolysis may be partly responsible for the bilirubinaemia which was observed.

Protein is usually reabsorbed by the kidney uriniferous tubules. The number of cachetic animals with proteinuria was statistically significantly different ($P<0.05$) from the non-cachetic cattle. This may be as a result of necrosis of renal epithelium which was observed histopathologically. The foregoing may likely be responsible for protein loss in the urine in agreement with the report of White *et al.* [13].

Creatinine and urea levels were higher ($P>0.05$) in the cachetic than non-cachetic cattle, signifying possible impairment of renal function in the former. This tally with the report of Mahmoud [14] who found no significant difference in the serum levels of creatinine and urea between emaciated and control groups of buffaloes. In lactating cows with medium condition scores, Aktas *et al.* [8] observed significant ($P<0.05$) decreases in serum concentrations of creatinine and urea. The hypophosphataemia observed in cachetic cattle in the present study may have affected creatinine metabolism in muscles leading to a buildup of creatinine, thus agreeing with the report of Radostits *et al.* [2].

Mean serum total protein was slightly higher in cachetic than non-cachetic cattle. This may be as a result of severe dehydration [15] observed in the cachetic animals. Mahmoud [14] and Aktas *et al.* [8] found significant and non significant hypoproteinaemia in emaciated group of buffaloes and lactating cows with fairly low body condition score respectively. High glucose levels were observed in the cachetic animals to collaborate other reports. Mahmoud [14] found high glucose levels in the serum of cachetic cattle, compared to the non-cachetic group. This was attributed to possible impaired pancreatic function with resultant negative effect on insulin production, leading to possible impaired glucose metabolism and its subsequent accumulation in the blood. Aktas *et al.* [8] found significant increase in mean serum glucose levels in lactating cows with

179 fairly low body condition score. Emaciated cows had significantly higher mean serum glucose
180 levels compared to normal cattle in the same study.

181 Transaminases (transferases) (i.e. ALT ($P<0.05$) and AST ($P>0.05$) increased in the serum of
182 cachetic compared to non-cachetic cattle signifying possible impaired liver function.
183 Hypophosphataemia was observed in the cachetic group and was attributed to the process of
184 cachexiation. This is because inorganic phosphorus is being utilized in the formation of protein
185 and tissue enzymes after being withdrawn from the plasma inorganic phosphorus [2].

186 The presence of urinary calculi in the kidneys of cachetic cattle may be probably due to local
187 infection in the kidney. This is because nidus (a group of desquamated epithelial cells or necrotic
188 tissue) may have formed as a result of local infection in the urinary tract [2]. The renal calculi
189 observed in the study were either due to phosphorus, magnesium, ammonium or carbonate. This
190 is contrary to the report of Hammad *et al.* [16] who found calcium oxalate crystals as the most
191 common cause of kidney stones in human patients. It is common knowledge that nutritional
192 sources, for instance high intake of sodium rich foods (e.g. spinach and cocoa) and proteins of
193 animal origin may increase the risk of developing calcium oxalate stones [17].

194 Nephritis was observed in this study and may be due to on-going microbial infection.
195 Angelopoulou *et al.* [11] observed thickening of the glomerular basement membrane and the
196 Bowman's capsule, adhesion between Bowman's capsule and the basement membrane,
197 mononuclear cellular infiltration (lymphocytes and macrophages) as well as degeneration and
198 necrosis of the renal tubular epithelium due to Maedi-visna virus infection in the kidney of
199 experimental sheep.

200 There was a statistically significant association ($P<0.05$) between sex and cachexiation, where
201 the female animals were more cachetic than the males. This is because they maintain pregnancy

and undergo stress of lactation. These factors may contribute to loss of weight, especially if there is negative energy balance [18]. However, age was not statistically significantly associated with cachexiation in patients with pulmonary tuberculosis [19].

In conclusion, this study is the first to demonstrate exhaustively the haematologic, biochemical and pathologic changes in the kidneys of cachectic Zebu cattle presented to the abattoir for slaughter. The study did not investigate the role of season on cachexiation and future studies should focus on this.

References

1. Lee S, David J G 2011. Treating cancer cachexia to treat cancer, *Lee and Glass Skeletal Muscle*, 1:2 <http://www.skeletalmusclejournal.com/content/1/1/2>
2. Radostits O M, Blood D C, Gay C C. *Veterinary Medicine. A text book of the diseases of cattle, sheep, pigs, goats and horses*. 10th Edition, Bailliere, Tindall and Cassell Publisher, London. 2006. 3-1560.
3. Raji M A, Salami S O, Ameh J A. Pathological conditions and lesions observed in slaughtered cattle in Zaria abattoir, Nigeria. *Journal of Clinical Pathology and Forensic Medicine*. 2010; 1: 9-12.
4. Wosu L O. *The Veterinarian's Hand Book*. Mike special Press, Nsukka, Enugu State, Nigeria 2002; 27.
5. Lowman B G, Scott N, Somerville S. Condition scoring of cattle. *East of Scotland College of Agriculture, Bulletin*. 1975; No. 6, Edinburgh, UK.
6. Weiss D J, Wardop K J. *Schalm's Veterinary Haematology*, 6th Edn. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, London. 2010
7. Kerr M G. *Clinical Biochemistry and Haematology* In: *Veterinary Laboratory Medicine* Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford. 1989; 1-30
8. Aktas M S, Zkanlar S O, Ucar O, Ozkanlar Y, Kaynar O, Aytekin I. Relationships between body condition score and some metabolic blood parameters in early lactating dairy cows. *Rev Méd Vét* 2011; 162: 586-592.

9. Adamu S, Adebayo I T, Useh N M, Bisalla M, Sambo S J, Esievo K A N. Chemical analysis of urinary constituents in cattle presented for slaughter at Zaria abattoir, Nigeria. *Veterinary Research*. 2007; 1: 57-60.
10. Igbokwe I O. Postmortem examination of carcasses. *Zariya Veterinarian*. 1989; 4: 145-152.
11. Angelopoulou K, G. Brellou G D, Vlemmas I. Detection of maedi-visna virus in the kidneys of naturally infected sheep. *Journal of Comparative Pathology*. 2006; 134: 329-335.
12. Chatfield C. Student t-test. In: *Statistics for Technology: A Course in Applied Statistics*, ed. by Chartfield, C., United Kingdom and Hall, London, pp. 168–170; 1983.
13. White M R, Crowell W A, Blue J L. A nephrotic-like syndrome with an associated mesangio-proliferative glomerulopathy in a cow. *Veterinary Pathology Online*. 1986; 23: 439-442.
14. Mahmoud A R. Serum metabolic profile of idiopathic emaciated buffaloes. *Journal Animal and Veterinary Advances*. 2011. 10: 2456-2458.
15. Cebra C K, Garry F B, Dinsmore R P. Naturally occurring acute coliform mastitis in Holstein cattle. *Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine*. 1996; 10: 252-257.
16. Hammad A, Fathi A, Munir A. Biochemical analysis of renal calculi. *Journal of Research in Medical Sciences*. 2002; 9: 47-50.
17. Samuell C T, Kasides G P. Biochemical investigations in renal stone formers. *Annals of Clinical Biochemistry*. 1995; 32: 112-122.
18. Ndlovu T, Chimonyo M, Okoh A I, Muchenje V, Dzama K, Raats J G. Assessing the nutritional status of beef cattle: current practices and future prospects. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 2007; 6: 2727-2734.
19. Suzanne W C, Williams S P, Daniel L B, Lizet O B, Marco A S, Iskra T, John S F, Faustino T, Robert H G. Gut hormones, appetite suppression and cachexia in patients with pulmonary tuberculosis. *PLoS One*. 2013; 8: 1-7.

283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311

312 Table 1: Haematologic parameters (mean $\pm$ SEM) in cachectic and non-cachectic cattle
313 examined in Zaria abattoir, Nigeria

Parameters	Cachectic cattle (n= 51)	Non-cachectic cattle (n =14)	Normal range*
Packed cell volume (%)	32.53 $\pm$ 8.56 ^a (16-61)	36.64 $\pm$ 9.19 ^a (21-49)	24-46
Haemoglobin (g/dL)	10.89 $\pm$ 2.85 ^a (5.30-18.30)	12.19 $\pm$ 3.45 ^a (7.00-18.30)	8-15
Leucocytes (x10 ³ /μL)	9.355 $\pm$ 2.57 ^a (5.80-14.5)	9.357 $\pm$ 1.30 ^a (7.00-12.50)	4-12
Band neutrophils (x10 ³ /μL)	0.117 $\pm$ 0.184 ^a (0.0-0.98)	0.138 $\pm$ 0.149 ^a (0.0-0.47)	0.0-0.2

Neutrophils ($\times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$)	3.971 ± 2.265^a (1.16-9.8)	3.670 ± 1.488^a (1.75-8.125)	1.7 – 6.0
Eosinophils ($\times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$)	0.249 ± 0.383^a (0.0-2.25)	0.331 ± 0.284^a (0.0-0.90)	0.1 – 1.2
Basophils ($\times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$)	0.0037 ± 0.0196^a (0.0-0.125)	0.00643 ± 0.0241^a (0.0-0.09)	0.0 – 0.2
Lymphocytes ($\times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$)	4.652 ± 1.456^a (0.0-7.8)	4.906 ± 0.1958^a (3.13-6.11)	1.8 – 8.1
Monocytes ($\times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$)	0.1742 ± 0.1959^a (0.0-0.81)	0.2023 ± 0.1398^a (0.0-0.41)	0.1 – 0.7

314 Key: Values in brackets are percentages, n = number, * = Jain, 1993, g/dL = grams per decilitre,
 315 x = times (multiplication sign), μL = per micro litre

316 $P > 0.05$

317 Values in rows with the same superscripts are comparable ($P > 0.05$)

318
 319
 320
 321 Table 2: Serum metabolite concentrations in cachectic and non-cachectic cattle examined at
 322 Zaria abattoir, Nigeria

Cattle			
Metabolites	Cachectic (n = 65)	Non-cachectic (n = 14)	Reference values
Creatinine ($\mu\text{mol/L}$)	77.94 ± 25.93^a (29-141)	70.43 ± 32.98^a (37-153)	88.4-176.9**
Urea (mmol/L)	5.23 ± 2.07^a (2.0-12.0)	4.31 ± 2.08^a (2.20-8.90)	3.6-8.9**
Total protein (g/L)	68.85 ± 9.94^a (47-89)	67.43 ± 9.94^a (47-81)	61-81*
Albumin (g/L)	38.69 ± 9.85^a	37.64 ± 7.85^a	27-39*

	(14-70)	(20-48)	
Glucose (mmol/L)	5.25±1.45 ^a (2.10-9.50)	4.89±1.15 ^a (2.90-6.60)	2.3-4.1**

Key: * = Payne *et al.*, 1970, ** = Sirois, 1995, n = number
P > 0.05
Values in rows with the same superscripts are comparable (P>0.05)

Table 3: Serum electrolyte concentrations in cachectic and non-cachectic cattle examined at Zaria abattoir, Nigeria

Cattle			
Parameters	Cachectic (n=65)	Non-cachectic (n= 14)	Reference values*
Na ⁺ (mmol/L)	136.9±6.38 ^a (122-148)	134.9±4.21 ^a (128-142)	141-155
K ⁺ (mmol/L)	4.09±0.75 ^a (2.90-6.90)	4.22±0.59 ^a (3.0-5.2)	3.9-5.2
Ca ²⁺ (mmol/L)	2.36±0.13 ^a (2.04-2.66)	2.31±0.19 ^a (2.06-2.62)	2.1-2.8

Cl ⁻ (mmol/L)	101.5±5.87 ^a (86-121)	100.6±5.64 ^a (86-109)	95-120
P (mmol/L)	1.06±0.31 ^a (0.54-1.84)	1.16±0.26 ^a (0.71-1.54)	1.4-2.5
HCO ₃ ⁻ (mmol/L)	21.12±3.97 ^a (10-40)	21.7±3.09 ^a (14-26)	21-29

Key: n = number, *Sirois, 1995, Na⁺ = sodium, K⁺ = potassium, Ca²⁺ = calcium, Cl⁻ = chloride, P = phosphorus, HCO₃⁻ = bicarbonate
P > 0.05

Values in rows with the same superscripts did not differ significantly (P>0.05)

Table 4: Serum liver enzymes activities in cachectic and non-cachectic cattle examined at Zaria abattoir, Nigeria

Cattle			
Parameters	Cachectic (n=65)	Non-cachectic (n=14)	Reference values*
Aspartate amino-transferase (U/L)	15.62±9.74 ^a (3-39)	14.79±8.49 ^a (4-37)	45-110
Alanine amino-transferase (U/L)	10.83±10.98 ^a (2-55)	4.93±3.56 ^b (2-16)	6.9-35
Alkaline phosphatase (U/L)	49.57±17.2 ^a (7-97)	59.21±30.09 ^a (7-105)	0-38

Key: ^a = P<0.05, *Sirois, 1995, n= number.
 Values in rows with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05).

Table 5: Proteinuria in cachectic and non-cachectic cattle examined at Zaria abattoir, Nigeria

Urine Protein (g/L)	Number of cattle having proteinuria (% in brackets)		
	Cachectic (n=49)	Non-cachectic (n=13)	Total (n=62)
0.3	12 (19.4) ^a	3(4.8) ^a	15 (24.2)
1.0	3 (4.8) ^a	3 (4.8) ^a	6 (9.7)
5.0	6 (9.7) ^a	3 (4.8) ^a	9 (14.5)
10.0	2 (3.2) ^a	2 (3.2) ^a	4 (6.5)

Total	23 (37.1) ^a	11 (17.7) ^b	34(54.8)
-------	------------------------	------------------------	----------

Key: values in brackets are percentages, g/L = grams per litre, n = number

P < 0.05, $X^2=5.889$, df = 1

Values in columns with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05)

Table 6: Haematuria in cachectic and non-cachectic cattle examined at Zaria abattoir, Nigeria

Erythrocytes / μ l	Number of cattle having haematuria (% in brackets)		
	Cachectic (n=49)	Non-cachectic (n=13)	Total (n=62)
10	3(4.8) ^a	0 (0) ^a	3 (4.8)
50	6 (9.7) ^a	2 (3.2) ^a	8(12.9)
250	3 (4.8) ^a	0 (0) ^a	3 (4.8)

Total	12 (19.4) ^a	2 (3.2) ^a	14 (22.6)
-------	------------------------	----------------------	-----------

Key: values in brackets are percentages, /μL = per micro litre, n = number.

P > 0.05, X² = 0.4872, df = 1

Values in columns with the same superscripts are comparable (P>0.05)

Table 7: Other biochemical changes in urine of cachectic and non-cachectic cattle examined at Zaria abattoir, Nigeria

	Number of cattle (% in brackets)		
	Cachectic (n=49)	Non-cachectic (n=13)	Total (n=62)
Glucosuria	2(3.2) ^a	0(0) ^a	2(3.2)
Bilirubinuria	18(29.03) ^a	4(6.5) ^a	22(35.5)
Aciduria	0(0) ^a	0(0) ^a	0(0)

Ketonuria	0(0) ^a	0(0) ^a	0(0)
Leucocyturia	0(0) ^a	0(0) ^a	0(0)

Key: Values in brackets are percentages, n = number.
 $P > 0.05$, $X^2 = 0.1597$, $df = 1$.

Table 8: Relationship between sex and cachexiation in cattle examined at Zaria abattoir, Nigeria
 Number of male and female cachectic and non-cachectic cattle (% in bracket)

Sex	Cachectic	Non-cachectic	Total
Male	3 (3.8) ^a	10 (12.7) ^b	13 (16.4)
Female	62 (78.5) ^b	4 (5.1) ^b	66 (83.5)
Total	65 (82.3)	14 (17.7)	79 (100)

Key: Values in brackets are percentages, $X^2 = 37.40$, $df = 1$
 $P < 0.0001$

Values in columns with different superscripts differ significantly ($P < 0.05$)

Table 9: Relationship between age and cachexiation in cattle examined at Zaria abattoir, Nigeria

Age of cachectic and non-cachectic cattle (% in bracket)			
Age (years)	Cachectic	Non-cachectic	Total
3-3.5 ^a	24 (30.4) ^a	8 (10.1) ^a	32 (40.5)
Above 3.5 ^a	41 (51.9) ^a	6 (7.6) ^a	47 (59.5)
Total	65 (82.3)	14 (17.7)	79 (100)

Key: Values in brackets are percentages, $X^2 = 1.954$, $df = 1$

$P > 0.05$

Values in columns with the same superscripts did not differ significantly ($P > 0.05$)

Table 10: Composition of renal calculi found in some of the cachectic cattle examined at Zaria abattoir, Nigeria

S/N	C/N	NH ₃	Carbonate	Calcium oxalate	Uric acid	HPO ₄	Mg
1	SJ4	+	++	-	+	++	++
2	SJ9	+	++	-	++	++	++
3	SK5	+	++	-	+	++	+
4	SK8	+	++	-	+	++	+

Key: S/N = serial number, C/N = clinic number, NH₃ = ammonia, HPO₄ = phosphorus, Mg = magnesium, + = presence in trace amount, ++ = presence in larger amount, - = not present.


534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554



555
556
557
558

Plate IIa: Urinary calculi (white arrows) observed in cachectic cattle at Zaria abattoir, Nigeria

559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570



571
572
573
574
575

Plate IIb: Urinary calculi found in cachectic cattle at Zaria abattoir, Nigeria





Plate IIIa: Photomicrograph of a section of kidney from cachectic cattle, note the obliterated Bowman's space (blue arrows) and moderate congestion (black arrow) (H & E x 1000).

589

590

591



592

593 Plate IIIb: Photomicrograph of a section of kidney from non-cachectic cattle, note the glomerulus
594 (green arrow), renal tubules (yellow arrow), intact Bowman's capsule (black arrow) and clear
595 Bowman's space (blue arrow) (H & E x 800).

596

597

598

599

600

601


602

603

604

605


606
607
608
609
610



611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629

Plate IV: Photomicrograph of a section of kidney from cachectic cattle, note the nephritis with moderate cellular infiltrations, predominantly lymphocytes (blue arrows) and macrophages (white arrows), necrosis of renal tubular epithelium (yellow arrows) and intra tubular proteinaceous deposits (green arrows) (H & E x 1000).

630
631
632
633
634



635
636
637
638

Plate V: Photomicrograph of a section of kidney from cachectic cattle, note the moderate congestion of inter tubular spaces (black arrows) and diffused inter tubular cellular infiltrations (white arrows) (H & E x 200).