



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_37727
Title of the Manuscript:	Proximate and Antinutrient Composition of Some Local Food Condiments in Their Raw and Processed Form
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	ABSTACT: STUDY DESIGNED SHOULD BE CHANGE TO COMPARED THE NUTRIENT AND ANTI-NUTREINT IN EPLACED WITH STUDY AREA REMOVE PLACE AND DURA.....EPLACED WITH STUDY AREA METHODOLOGY: REMOVE STANDARD TECHNIQUES AND WRITES THE ACTUAL TECHNIQUES YOU HAVE USED. RESULT: ARRAGE THE RESULT ACCORDING TO HOW THEY APPEAR CONCLUSION: REMOVE THE WORD PROCESSING AND PUT FERMENTATION INTRODUCTION: SOYABEAN IS PUPOLAR AND HAS A NUTRIENT VALUE, PLEASE GIVE MORE REF, AND ELABORATE ON DAWADAWA IN NATURAL MAGGI. PROCESSING FOOD CAN NEVER BETTER THAN NATURAL FOOD, PLEASE USED FERMENTATION. YOUR AIM SHOULD BE CAPTURED AT END OF THE INTRODUCTION. METHODOLOGY: NO COMMENT YOUR RESULT AND DISCUSSION SHOULD BE SEPARATED AND DISCUSS THE WORK EXTENSIVELY	- I have changed the Study Design to Compared the Nutrient and Antinutrient - Place and Duration has been changed to Study Area. - Result has been arranged according to how they appear. - More Ref has been included on the introduction. Also more important information has been included on the introduction. - The word processing has been replaced with Fermentation - The aim has been captured at the end of the Introduction - The result and discussion has been separated. - The work has been discussed extensively.
Minor REVISION comments	NO MINOR COMMENT	
Optional/General comments	IT IS A GOOD RESEACH BUT THE AUTHO SHOULD BE FOCUS,	