



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_37576
Title of the Manuscript:	The syndrome of Cardiogenic Insulin Resistance
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract: line 6: acute myo: infarction, line 13: once the patient is back in home Introduction should be written at line 19 after key words. Introduction: line 21: in patients (avoid the short forms) and when it appears first such as MI in line 18, STEMI in line 31, DKA and ac MI in line 40 and 56, 59 (Diabetes Ketoacidosis, acute myocardial infarction) Tenses: line 34: IR is, line 36: tachyarrhythmias are, Should be consistent usage of cardiac insulin resistance as Cardiac IR rather than cardiac IR one time and Cardiac IR many times) From line 70 to 118 is repetition of line 20 to 69 The article did not include findings of author's own experience. Therefore, it is suggestible to be titled as very short review of Cardiac IR rather than an article. However, if the author has own case series, it is better to write case study to high light the Cardiac IR.	Corrected as myocardial infarction. . Introduction is written below key words. Short forms avoided. Corrections in line 34 and 36 are made. Repetition between lines from 70 to 118 are removed. The case study is the personal experience of the author himself. it is expected to create awareness among the cardiologists and the Endocrinologists mainly regarding issues raised, to be followed up in their respective series of patients. No objection if published as a short review or as opinion type article as the editor may deem it fit. Thank you for your suggestions. Full compliance of your remark is reported herewith .
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		