



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_37444
Title of the Manuscript:	Disulphide bond reduction of RNase A by drug metosartan a comparative study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This paper presented very interesting study to Disulphide bond reduction of RNase A by drug metosartan a comparative study. A revision is required. 1. Discussion part is too weak. 2. Conclusion: It is too bulky. Make it concise form possibly with some numerical results. 3. Conclusions must be comprehensive and not written like a report. 4. It's very important if you added some references of 2014-2017.	Dear sir/mam I agree with you . Discussion was corrected and conclusion was added. Numerical values are added to conclusion as you said. Eswari beeram reference was added which is of year 2017, and Louise Kime etal; of 2015.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		