



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_36595
Title of the Manuscript:	Utilization of Fish Feeds by Côte d'Ivoire Fish Farmers and its Influence on the Quantitative Competitive Commercial Fish Production
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Please see Lugert et. al. 2016. For the calculation of growth rates. Average growth rate (gd⁻¹) is given on the assumption that fish grows equally on each day in your manuscript. Please choose one of the calculation method given by Luggert et al., 2016. Usually specific growth rate is preferred. Since final weight is given total growth percentages would be much meaningful. In this case you can use Relative Growth rate (%)=((finalwt-initial wt)/initial wt) *100	Thanks, really; Average growth rate (gd⁻¹) was calculated by Absolute growth rate calculation method revealed by Luggert et al., 2016. $AGR = (\text{weight at the end of growth} - \text{initial weight}) / \text{time of growth}$ which also equal to weight gain / duration of growth. In this study, we use Absolute growth rate because it's identified us production indicator with recommended acceptable tilapia daily weight gain 1.5 d day⁻¹ But correction was made in the calculation formula in line 81-82, thank you very much for your observation.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		The authors like to thank the reviewer for his help in the improvement of our paper by his observations.