



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_36536
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Evaluation of Nutritional Qualities of Nymphaea lotus and Nymphaea pubescens Seeds
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Suggestions: All keywords could be different from the title; Which equipment was used (Brand, Model, Country): to dry (line 40), to weigh (L. 46 and 72), in the oven (L. 47 and 76); Which methods were used in ALL determinations? Item 2.3 should be 2.4. Table 1 and respective text – there are the same significance? How is this possible with these values?	-Noted and corrected -Noted -A reference was stated against each method -Noted
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		