



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_36536
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Evaluation of Nutritional Qualities of Nymphaea lotus and Nymphaea pubescens Seeds
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. The paper is well written but should be shortened especially material and methods section. 2. Add the trade names of equipments and chemicals used. 3. Use abbreviations at first, then use throughout the text. 4. Correct the reference style in the text based on the guide. 5. Before publication, English grammar should be checked.	-NOTED -We use methodology not materials and methods
	L6: This study was aimed to evaluate In abstract: estimate or determine, grounded not ground, Standard L105: min not mins L101-130: Shorten this part. L134: Add the explanation. L137: profiles..... were determined as previously described L143: were determined as previously described	-All corrections were noted
Optional/General comments		