



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Biochemistry Research &amp; Review</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJBCRR_35894</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>INFLUENCE OF DIETARY PALM OIL ON THE PROXIMATE AND ANTI-NUTRIENT COMPOSITIONS OF COCOYAM (Colocasia esculenta).</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The paper looks interesting but the title is not as attractive as it should be. Author should try to modify the title if possible to make it more attractive.</li> <li>The author has straight away come to results in the abstract without discussing a line about dietary palm or cocoyam. Try to write a line about these and then come to your investigations.</li> <li>The introduction should straight away determine the significance of your work and not wander or deviate from the main objective of the work. Try to precise the introduction as much as possible.</li> </ul>	<p>The title has been modified to:- "Proximate and Anti-nutrient Compositions of cocoyam (<i>Colocasia esculenta</i>): the effect of cooking and dietary palm oil treatments". The Abstract was fortified with details on cocoyam and palm oil before the results were stated.</p> <p>Irrelevant posting have been removed and other restructured to bring to fore the main idea in the introduction part.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Material and methods are not written as it should have been. Modify the material and methods part.</li> <li>The results and discussion part is written haphazardly and it does not present the results in a nice manner. Modify the results and discussion part and make some subheadings so that it should become easy for the reader to understand your work.</li> </ul>	<p>Noted, and this has also been amended</p> <p>Major and submajor headings has been inserted to give more guides to readers</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>I think the conclusion should be devoid of any references. It should depict what you have concluded from the work and that is all.</li> <li>Try to strictly write the references as per the format of the journal.</li> </ul>	<p>All references previously included in the conclusion parts have been removed as suggested.</p> <p>All references at the conclusion part have been removed</p>