



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_35736
Title of the Manuscript:	Proximate, Mineral, Sensory evaluations and shelf stability of chinchin enriched with ugu and Indian spinach vegetables.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	I will suggest the publication of the manuscript with minor revision. Line 114, format of the equation Table 1 to Table 6: format of the tables From the conclusion: Addition of vegetable will decrease rank of the color, crispness, and overall acceptability, then what can you do to improve the quality of the product enrich with those vegetables?	Table formatted and equation formatted Improving the quality will be with the use of smaller ratio of vegetable. Also awareness of the importance of the enrichment of <i>chinchin</i> can be done and emphasized to improve the quality.
Optional/General comments		