

Editor's comment:

I have still have comments to the author.
Please ask the author (s) to prepare the paper as below.
"Perez-Casal et al., 2015".

In general(II)

From your response, "MSC_0265 was one of the proteins that gave the best ELISA titers", there is still the possibility that MSC_0265 is a HAPTEN. The author have to check antigenicity, immunogenicity to induce immune response to animal itself, so as to define VACCINE to MSC_0265 molecule.
If you and the other researcher had succeeded to induce immune response by MSC_0265 molecule, there is no originality in the script,ms IBCRR 34125.
It is necessary to check the difference/advance, the paper "Perez-Casal et al., 2015".

In detail (II)

There are much misspelling in the text.
For example, page 1etc, an integration of two words.
This is fundamental responsibility of author.

Author's feedback :

Thanks for your comments.

In General II

I have removed the word vaccine from the title. Is it ok to replace it with 'Immunogenic'?.

As to the originality of my script, immune responses were previously assessed in cattle only by Perez-Casal and team. My paper therefore identifies homologues in *Mccp* which is the causative agent of CCPP in goats.

In Detail II

I think it happened due to different year versions of windows word.