

Editor's Comments:

In general:

So as to choose the word VACCIN with your material, the author have to prove antigenicity of the material i.e., **MSC_0265**.

In order to prove antigenicity, the author have to test the inducibility of immune response and/or reactivity to the established antibody or reactive lymphoid cell.

In detail:

About the title, the author only permit to use the word "a potential vaccine antigen" in the title, after when they succeed to induce immune response by **MSC_0265**.

The author have to try either or both try to prove above dogmatic trials for antigenicity.

Author's Feedback:

Thank you very much for the response and comment regarding the words; '**Vaccine**' and '**a potential vaccine antigen**' on the title of my paper.

I would like to kindly refer you to Perez-Casal et al., 2015, in a previous and related study, the authors were able to inoculate cattle with a total of 66 potential vaccine *Mycoplasma mycoides mycoides* (*Mmm*) proteins after which MSC_0265 was one of the proteins that gave the best ELISA titres, upon challenge, hence my labelling it as 'a potential vaccine antigen' and focusing on it to identify and characterize it and its homology to the *Mccp* genome.

I would also like to bring to your attention that the 66 proteins were arrived at from a total of 985 gene encoding *Mmm* proteins using bioinformatics tools specific to prediction of potential vaccine candidates.