



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_34125
Title of the Manuscript:	Identification, characterization and purification of MSC_0265, a potential vaccine antigen homologue of Mycoplasma mycoides subsp. mycoides in Mycoplasma capricolum subsp. Capripneumoniae
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	I congratulate the authors for their excellent work. The development of new vaccines is important for animal and human health. I would like to point out two points which should be evaluated: -Tests were performed to evaluate the activation of the immune system -What type of immune response activated by antigens?	Thank you indeed for the commendation. I would like to clarify that the scope of this study was limited to <i>in silico</i> characterization, identification of high score homologues, and finally expression and purification of MSC_0265, one of the proteins that showed homology in the <i>Mccp</i> genome. However, another bigger study is currently underway to analyse immunogenicities of 6 of the 13 proteins and finally to inoculate them in goats. It is also the reason I submitted this as a short communication.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		