



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_32518
Title of the Manuscript:	ESSENTIAL MINERALS CONTENT OF FLOURS OF PALMYRA NEW SHOOTS ENRICHED WITH Moringa oleifera LEAVES AND Vigna unguiculata BEANS POWDERS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Suggestion for title correction: Essential mineral of the new shoots of Palmyra enriched with <i>Moringa Oleifera</i> leaves and <i>Vigna unguilata</i> bean powder. 2. Indicate the type of fermentation used. 3. Your formulations should be arranged as a table for clarity. 4. Figures are unclear and sloppy. 5. Some sentences have been overemphasized.	Thank you for your very applicable critiques that we are going to take in account to perfect our manuscript. Minerals dosage concerns every plant material, but not a composite flour. It is for it that one maintained our title. The type of fermentation used is the hydrolysis. Concerning the sentences, we apologize for the level of the English language. Thank you for your understanding.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Just for clarity, is your product a weaning food? 2. In your conclusion, you mentioned that EF07/EE09 flours exhibited the most interesting character. However, sensory parameter results were not cited in the work. 3. Botanical names should be written properly (italicised).	We consider making of our product a food of 1- to 5-year-old. But we continue the research on other parameters of which the results we will permit to conclude. Thank you for this remark, sensory analysis is not part of this manuscript, the expression has been deleted.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Grammatical errors are much and it depleted the understanding of the author's research purpose.	Once again, thank you.