



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_32379
Title of the Manuscript:	Sensory Analysis of Dishes Based on Mucilages of Abelmoschus esculentus, Beilschmiedia mannii, Corchorus olitorius and Irvingia gabonensis From Côte d'Ivoire
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The study evaluates the sensory properties of culinary recipes based on mucilage is interesting for the purpose of evaluating consumer products. However the region, state or area of Cote d'ivoire from which mucilaginous food plants samples were collected should be mentioned.	Thank you Correction have been done.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	In line 14, "has" is written, it should be was. Lines 66-67 should be reframed to represent the question asked. In lines 77-78, the region, state or area of collection should be indicated. In line 89, write PAMu in full. In line 171, add "age between" before 20 to 30 years..... In line 183-184, write ANOVA and SPSS in full. In Table IV, the "of" before dishes based..... should be removed. The cited references 33- 40 are not included among the references.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	-	