



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_31872
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF PHYTOPHTHORA INFECTION ON NUTRIENT COMPOSITION OF THEOBROMA CACAO L.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article entitled: "EFFECTS OF PHYTOPHTHORA INFECTION ON NUTRIENT COMPOSITION OF THEOBROMA CACAO L." Put <i>Phytophthora megasperma</i> because other species could cause different values of nutrients. They are species more or less aggressive. ABSTRACT: The abstract should be concise and informative. It should briefly introduce and describe the purpose of the work, then the material, techniques and methods used in your investigation, major findings with important data and finally the conclusions. Reduce the results in the abstract. Details will be seen in the section RESULTS. The INTRODUCTION needs any comments. The authors indicated two very important pathogens: <i>Phytophthora palmivora</i> and <i>P. megakarya</i> and then indicated that <i>P. megakarya</i> is the most aggressive pathogen, but in materials and methods they used <i>P. palmivora</i>. This topic needs to be explained. RESULTS: In results include some reference to the statistical analysis performed (A short comment). CONCLUSION: 244. Put: It can however be concluded that <i>Phytophthora megasperma</i> infection depleted the nutritional values of <i>T. cacao</i>.	Please note that <i>P. palmivora</i> was the only inoculant used in this study. The work concentrated only on <i>P. palmivora</i> not <i>P. megakarya</i>
Minor REVISION comments	Do not use capital letter in the title At the first time that you mention the pathogens put the	Noted



SDI Review Form 1.6

	author, for example: <i>Phytophthora megasperma</i> Drechsler. In all species. Lines 86-87: Remove space before number 7. 109: Put a space before the parenthesis. 111-113: See writing. 120: Put a space between 15 and seconds. 236-237: these lines are similar to 238-239.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It recommends rewrite the abstract and explain the use of <i>P. palmivora</i> using a short comment. Include some reference to the statistical analysis.	