



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_31858
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Harvesting Stage on Storage and Nutritional Quality of Tomato (<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i> Mill) cv. PKM-1
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Material and Method Location of experiment ????? Country , north latitude, south longitude, altitude .. More explanation and details during the production of tomato. Its preharvest factors time and method of production, fertilization, irrigation, plant density, plant protection etc.... You must to include in discussion more relevant references from last 5-years	I fully agree with the reviewer. The points left out in materials and methods have been pointed out by the reviewer. All comments given by the reviewer has been corrected and resubmitted. Highlighted with blue colour.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Please improve English	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	You have performed your experiment 7-8 years ago. Why did not you publish the results early ?????	I have submitted the article earlier to a Journal. I waited for a reply from the Journal. I didn't get a reply for a long time. Hence revised and submitted now.