SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJBCRR_31086**
Title of the Manuscript:	**THE DOUBLE HELIX IN RETROSPECT: A CRITIQUE OF AVAILABLE EVIDENCE**
Type of the Article	**Opinion Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: PART 1 Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. The manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound, contains relevant and novelty information. 2. The data are well controlled and robust. 3. The references cited are current and relevant. 4. Review the grammar and syntax in the redaction. 5. Figures and images should be showed in a high resolution format. 6. Discussion and conclusions could be in more wide terms (as example, do not separating thermodynamics and biochemical implications).	Thank you! There are space limitations in an Opinion article that do not permit extensive discussion or literature review.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		