



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_31086
Title of the Manuscript:	THE DOUBLE HELIX IN RETROSPECT: A CRITIQUE OF AVAILABLE EVIDENCE
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	You should put the materials and methods You should write figure not scheme (in results).	This is an Opinion article! 'Scheme' changed to 'Figure'.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- In discussion you should put new references to discuss your results with other research study.	This article concerns only results already in the literature, and does not present fresh experimental results.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	- The Methods isn't existing	This is an Opinion article!