



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_30912
Title of the Manuscript:	Coffee and Caffeine Consumption in Reproductive Functions of Adult Wistar Rats
Type of Article:	Original research papers

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The authors have not responded to my remarks. They have only written that the abstract and the introduction have been 'double-checked'. As for the correction of the paper, briefly some corrections have been done, others have not been done, and passages have been deleted instead of having been corrected. Moreover, the new idea I had given has not been taken into account. Some details: Abstract: delete the sentence lines 8-10; correct a little lines 15-16; line 18, write: Then; important: correct $P > 0.05$ which is wrong. Key words: only the first one is correct, replace the two last ones by words being not in the title. Introduction: rather OK Aim of study: why have you deleted the point IV? Research design: the asked corrections have not been done Study area: OK Procedure: some corrections have been made; other ones have not been made. Check the calculation of the number of spermatozoa. !! Why have you deleted the 5 paragraphs relative to the procedure and the important paragraph relative to the statistical analysis (in which 'less than 0.05' was correct? Results: you have not corrected your wrong "$P > 0.05$". Such an error cannot be published. Why have you deleted the Fig. 4.10? Fig. 4.1.: is - 8.35% correct? Fig. 4.4.: correct the '0' after 'control'. Discussion: The discussion has not been corrected and my idea has not been included as an hypothesis. The authors have simply copy the	Abstract: Can't see why lines 8-10 should be deleted. Maybe you should specify the actual statement Lines 15-16 has been corrected. $P > 0.05$ has been corrected. "then" is been corrected as well. Key words: Effected Aim of study: Realised that Point IV wasn't now necessary as it apparently appeared unreachable within the context of the presented results/outcome Research Design: Effected Procedure: Effected where necessary



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

old version, however somewhat shortening the beginning. The authors have not changed the subtitle 'Recommendation'. This must be done! I am sad; I had so much worked for revising that paper. An anonymous referee.	
---	--