



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_30581
Title of the Manuscript:	Formulation, Nutritional Evaluation and Consumer Acceptability of High Energy and Protein dense Complimentary Foods from Millet and soybeans
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Units have to be given in the article (e.g. Abstract, Line 137, 167) Abstract; introduction sentence is missing. Line 147; in the reference are they still the same people 1985, 2012? Iwe and Ngoddy, 1998 is old article, could you please remove it? Line 281; clarify the products one by one.	Noted and corrected. Please note. Noted and corrected. Please note. This is the reference that relate with the discussion. But noted. Noted and corrected. Please note.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 46; make the sentence more clear Line 57; What is modern market? Clarify it	Noted and corrected. Please check.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		Thank you sir. But however, i had problem with my data, so i went back to the Laboratory which has affected most of my results. Therefore, you may experience changes in my results.