



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_30011
Title of the Manuscript:	PROXIMATE COMPOSITION, MINERAL AND PHYTOCHEMICAL CONTENTS OF SOME LEAFY VEGETABLES NATIVE TO IGALA KINDOM KOGI STATE NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments No complain from all the authors.	Almost references need to revised and should be written in scientific way e.g. references 1, 3, 4, 5, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 18, 19, ----- etc. Model: Author (s), (year), Title. Full Journal name , Volume: pages - Good example reference 8, except the title should be written as: Chemical composition of <i>Hyptis suaveolens</i> and <i>Ocimum gratissimum</i> hybrid from Nigeria. Although , some plants mentioned in this manuscript and also from Nigeria have been already subjected to the same investigation and previously reported in: Journal of Food Research 1 (3), 214 (2012) and no refer to that work.	With a positive reception, i wish to show my gratitude and thank all the reviewers for the wonderful and comprehensive review carried out on the paper. Thank you so much we are grateful and we wish to send more papers in sequence. 2. All the corrections were effected; we are waiting eagerly for your favourable response. Thank you. All the revisions were in order therefore it was accepted. Correct kindom to KINGDOM
Minor REVISION comments	Phenol wherever mentioned in the manuscript is better to replaced by phenolic contents.	
Optional/General comments		