



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_29804
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF FERMENTATION ON PHYSICOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES AND IN-VITRO RADICAL SCAVENGING ABILITY OF Citrullus vulgaris
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Abstract lines 10-12: start your methodology from the perspective of how you performed it and not how it is being done eg start with "The seeds were dehulled..." Lines 12-13: "The fermented ...vitamins": The sentence is not correct. It lacks cohesion. Please reconstruct. Line13: cotyledons NOT cotyledon Line 14: "pH". Do not start sentence with abbreviation Introduction Lines 27-28: Reference needed Lines 37-39: "citruillus ... middle east". Break the sentence into two. Licne 56: ... damages NOT damage Materials and methods Line 68: start another sentence from "All the reagents ..." Line 71: Be consistent with abbreviation of hour (hrs or h). Also separate figures from their units eg 100 g NOT 100g. This type of error occurred in many places. Lines 74-80: Flow chart "sorting, washing, packaging" are in progressive tense while "allowed" is not. Why? Line 86: "mortal" Please check the spelling. I do not think that you are talking about death. Line 91: check spelling of that indicator Line 91: "... was transfered". It should be "were transfered" Results Line 154: The results ... show NOT shows Line 159: use either "These trends" or "This trend" Lines 100-163: references are not supposed to appear	Lines 10-12:corrected Lines 12-13:reconstructed Line13:added Line 14: Please correct as appropriate, you are appreciated Lines 27-28: reference cited Lines 37-39:done as requested Lines 37-39: s is inserted Line 71:noted and corrected Lines 74-80: presented in continuous form Line 86: corrected Line 91: spelling corrected Line 91: appropriate tense is used Line 154: corrected as appropriate Line 159: corrected Lines 100-163: taken and corrected Line 154 and 155 ..." the number of colonies



SDI Review Form 1.6

	in result section EXCEPT you are presenting results and discussions together. If it is so, your title should be "Results and discussion" please. Line 178: sentence not coherent. Consider inserting punctuations in some places Lines 180-183: were such increases significant? Did you analyse your data? VERY IMPORTANT Line 183: "This is" NOT "These is" Line 184: "have" NOT "having" Line 197: "oxidation-reduction" NOT "oxidation reduction" Line 199: Define MPS before using the abbreviation Lines 202-203: Table 2: show the values before and after AND NOT after alone. This is where you need a statistical tool such as students' t-test to check whether the change is significant or not References References nos 2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 13, 24 and 26 lack punctuations after year. They and other references also have other punctuation abberations. Please check very carefully and synchronize. Some journals wre italized and other were not (eg no 9). Check the type of punctuations after journal name and synchronize for all. Decision Major short fall include lack of analysis of data.	isolated, cultural, morphological and biochemical characterization of the organisms isolated, as well as"...This is deleted from this paper because it is presented in another work. Line 159: corrected. Lines 100-163: Taken please. Line 178:It is reconstructed please. Lines 180-183: Data now analysed. Line 183: corrected please. Line 184: corrected please. Line 197: corrected please. Line 199: MPS expunged. Lines 202-203: observed please. References nos 2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 13, 24 and 26 represented please.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		