



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_29091
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic diversity and relationships between and within kiwifruit (Actinidia) wild species and cultivated varieties using SRAP markers
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The work has merit for publication, but is presented poorly written, with many errors and repeated phrases. The beginning of the text affirming kiwifruit is one of the most important cultures of the world is very presumptuous The annealing temperature used was very low, which can lead to false positives, and the choice of this temperature must be explained.	We thank the reviewer for the suggestion, and we reorganize and revised the paper. For the annealing temperature was correction. The details could refer the references Jing et al, 2013a; 2013b.
Minor REVISION comments	The bibliographical references are able to be more current.	We searched the Web of Science, and no new paper could be find.
Optional/General comments	Accept for publication after corrections	Thanks for the reviewer.