

Author's feedback against editor's comment

EDITOR's decision/comments (on revised version R1):	AUTHOR's comments
(Abstract, Results (not "Result"!): In the phrase "(5.08 mg), total flavonoids (4.94 mg) and vitamin C contents (22.30 mg)", not the amounts but concentrations should be given, e.g. calculated in mg per 1 g of dry leaves (preferably) or per 1 ml of an extract (in the latter case, it should be unambiguously specified which solvent was used and from which amount of dry/fresh leaves the extract was prepared, e.g. with 100 ml of acetone + 5 g fresh (or dry) leaves). The data should be given in a way so that they could be repeated.	Corrections have been made. The concentrations was measured in mg per 1g of dry leaves and not amount.
(Abstract): For "Butylatedhydroxy toluene", an exact chemical name of the substance should additionally be given both in the Abstract and in the Materials and Methods section (i.e., 3,5-di-<i>tert</i>-butyl-4-hydroxytoluene).	Correction has been made in Abstract, materials and methods section
(Keywords): To the Keywords list, the names of both plans used should be added (i.e., <i>Persea americana</i> and <i>Ficus exasperate</i>).	<i>Persea americana</i> and <i>Ficus exasperate</i> has been added
(Page 3) "The leaves washed" should be replaced by "The leaves were washed". "The guidelines ... was followed" should be replaced by "The guidelines ... were followed". "The dried concentrated extracts was" should be replaced by "The dried concentrated extracts (X ml each) were", where "X" is the real volume(s) of the concentrated extracts obtained after evaporation.	Corrections have been made. The volumes of the concentrated extracts obtained were not measured, rather their respective weight was measured as percentage recovery and indicated in the results section
(Page 4) "100mL of distilled water was added to it to make up the volume" is wrong (you cannot add 100 ml of water to a 100-ml flask already containing over 50 ml !), it should be replaced by "distilled water was added to it up to 100 mL". "at a wavelength of 760nm." – here, the optical path length of the spectrophotometric cuvette (made of what - glass? quartz?) that was used should be specified (e.g., "at a wavelength of 760 nm. (in a glass cuvette with 1 cm optical path length)". If, in other cases, other cuvettes	All highlighted corrections have been made

were used, this should be indicated at the appropriate places. “5% aqueous sodium.” - what “aqueous sodium...”? Correct as appropriate (e.g., “... aqueous sodium bicarbonate”). “the precipitates collected was washed” should be replaced by “the precipitates collected were washed”.	
(Page 6) “was the carried out”, delete “the”. “Afterwards the resulting mixtures was” should be replaced by “Afterwards the resulting mixture was”.	Corrections have been made
(Page 7) “~~This was the followed~~”, delete “the”. “(SI) was kept for lipid peroxidation analysis and pellet” should be replaced by “(SI), that was kept for lipid peroxidation analysis, and a pellet”.	Corrections have been made
(Page 8) “duodecylsulphate” should probably be replaced by “dodecyl sulphate”. “at 532nm spectrophotometer.” should be replaced by “at 532 nm.”.	Corrections have been made
(Page 13) “provides provide protection” should be replaced by “provides protection”. “Findings from this study reveals” should be replaced by “The findings from this study reveal”.	Corrections have been made
(Conclusions – not “Conclusion”) “The results obtained from this study reveals” should be replaced by “The results obtained from this study reveal”. “leaves of leaves” should be replaced by “extracts from leaves”. “supports usage” should be replaced by “supports their use”.	Corrections have been made