



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_24110
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the in vitro antioxidant activities of leaves extracts of Persea americana and Ficus exasperata collected from Akure, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1. Discuss about the rationale behind the selection of these two medicinal plants 2. Author may detail the importance of in-vitro assays and relevance of each assay with reference in the discussion part.	The Rationale behind the Plants' selection is vividly spelt out in the last paragraph of the Introduction. It has been highlighted in yellow for easy sighting. There is no need for such detailed explanation. The implications of each of the results obtained have been well discussed. Absence of the required information will in no way reduce the robustness of the discussion.
Optional/General comments		