



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_29484
Title of the Manuscript:	Immunohistochemical Expression of 40S Ribosomal Protein SA and Fibronectin-1 in Breast Cancer Tissues from Sudanese Patients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I think the study has merit showing differences between normal and cancerous breast tissue expression of extracellular proteins. I think one should clarify the differences if any between the data of figure 1 and table 1 and remove One in case of overlap. The positive and negative control tissues for the immunocytochemistry should be included. it would be nice to have some hypothesis as to why the grade 2 tumours have the highest expression	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	As above	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I think the end comments about the potential for tumor vaccine are and sound too optimistically should be toned down	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pierre Schembri Wismayer
Department, University & Country	Anatomy department, University of Malta, Malta