



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_29484
Title of the Manuscript:	Immunohistochemical Expression of 40S Ribosomal Protein SA and Fibronectin-1 in Breast Cancer Tissues from Sudanese Patients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The abstract should not start with a number, please change When did you collect the sample give the year or did you collect it from a pathological archive? Change the line 68, as The results were statistically analysed by Mann-Whitney test using SPSS software (what version?) What post-test did you perform? The p value is mentioned as <0.000, it is highly controversial, please check the statistics part or redo that. The reference need to be done in a proper way (some are in caps others are not) follow the journal specific format. The table 3 title is given below and other table titles are given above make it uniform. In the Fig 1, the graph does not have an error bar, since you have this much sample there should be some error bar there. What is the magnification of the histology pictures? Include scale bar in all the histology pictures. In the figure 2 and 3 what did you mean by Tumor negative and Tumor positive? Please clarify the ethical issue: They mentioned about ethical permission but, Ethical permission comes	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	with a number, it is missing in the manuscript.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Study design is not needed, you can remove the lines 56-58. Primary antibody company name, dilution, duration of incubation, detection method should be included in methods section. Table 4 it is written as Mann-Whitney in title in the column written as Mann-Whitney U, make it same in all places. In the figure 1, remove the background lines, In the legend replace the highly positive with over expressed or highly expressed. In the histology legends Replace the following Control negative with negative control Control positive with positive control	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Do these patients undergo any treatment prior to the surgery?	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Benson C Selvanesan
Department, University & Country	Department of Translational medicine, Lund University, Sweden